Introduction to Machine Learning Stochastic Gradient Descent

Andres Mendez-Vazquez

June 22, 2020

・ロ 、 < 回 、 < 回 、 < 三 、 < 三 、 < 三 、 < 三 、 < 三 、 の へ (* 1/225)
</p>

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence
- 3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent
 - Example of SGD Vs BGD
 - Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
 - Adaptive Learning Step
 - Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Outline

1. Introduction

Review Gradient Descent

- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

The basic procedure is as follow

() Start with a random weight vector w_0 .

) Obtain value w_1 by moving from w_0 in the direction of the steepest descent:

$oldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = oldsymbol{w}_n - \eta_n abla J\left(oldsymbol{w}_n ight)$

 η_n is a positive scale factor or learning rate!!!

< □ > < @ > < 볼 > < 볼 > 볼 > 월 · ⊙ < ⊙ 4/225

The basic procedure is as follow

- **1** Start with a random weight vector w_0 .
- **2** Compute the gradient vector $\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}_0)$.

Obtain value w₁ by moving from w₀ in the direction of the steepest descent:

$oldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = oldsymbol{w}_n - \eta_n abla J\left(oldsymbol{w}_n ight)$

 η_n is a positive scale factor or learning rate!!!

< □ > < @ > < 볼 > < 볼 > 볼 > 월 · ⊙ < ⊙ 4/225

The basic procedure is as follow

- **()** Start with a random weight vector w_0 .
- **2** Compute the gradient vector $\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}_0)$.
- **③** Obtain value w_1 by moving from w_0 in the direction of the steepest descent:

$oldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = oldsymbol{w}_n - \eta_n abla J\left(oldsymbol{w}_n ight)$

 η_n is a positive scale factor or learning rate!!!

The basic procedure is as follow

- **1** Start with a random weight vector w_0 .
- **2** Compute the gradient vector $\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}_0)$.
- **③** Obtain value w_1 by moving from w_0 in the direction of the steepest descent:

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \eta_n \nabla J\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n\right) \tag{1}$$

 η_n is a positive scale factor or learning rate!!!

The basic procedure is as follow

- **1** Start with a random weight vector w_0 .
- **2** Compute the gradient vector $\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}_0)$.
- **③** Obtain value w_1 by moving from w_0 in the direction of the steepest descent:

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \eta_n \nabla J\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n\right) \tag{1}$$

 η_n is a positive scale factor or learning rate!!!

Geometrically

We have the following



Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Although

It is possible to prove

• That the gradient direction gives the greatest increase direction!!!

We have a problem in cost functions like in Deep Neural Networks

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - f(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}_i))^2$$

ullet Where, we have that $f\left(oldsymbol{w},oldsymbol{x}_{i}
ight)=f_{1}\circ f_{2}\circ f_{3}\circ\cdots\circ f_{T}\left(oldsymbol{w},oldsymbol{x}_{i}
ight)$

Although

It is possible to prove

• That the gradient direction gives the greatest increase direction!!!

We have a problem in cost functions like in Deep Neural Networks

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - f(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}_i))^2$$

• Where, we have that $f(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}_i) = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \cdots \circ f_T(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}_i)$

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets

Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size

- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Do you remember the problem of the η step size?

Gradient Descent with fixed step size

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \eta \nabla J\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n\right)$$

Why to worry about this?

 Because, we want to know how fast Gradient Descent will find the answer...

Do you remember the problem of the η step size?

Gradient Descent with fixed step size

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \eta \nabla J\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n\right)$$

Why to worry about this?

 Because, we want to know how fast Gradient Descent will find the answer...

We have

Lipschitz Continuous [3]

• Lipschitz continuity, named after Rudolf Lipschitz, is a strong form of uniform continuity for functions.

Uniform continuity

• The function $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be uniformly continuous on A iff for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $|x - y| < \delta$ implies $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$.

We have

Lipschitz Continuous [3]

• Lipschitz continuity, named after Rudolf Lipschitz, is a strong form of uniform continuity for functions.

Uniform continuity

• The function $f : A \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be uniformly continuous on A iff for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}| < \delta$ implies $|f(\boldsymbol{x}) - f(\boldsymbol{y})| < \epsilon$.

Lipschitz Continuous

Definition

• A function $f:S\subset\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the Lipschitz Continuous at $x\in S$, if there is a such constant L>0 such that

$$\left\|f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) - f\left(\boldsymbol{y}\right)\right\| \leq L \left\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\right\|$$

for all $y \in S$ sufficiently near to x. Lipschitz continuity can be seen as a refinement of continuity.

Example when you see L as the slope

Here the function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$



An interesting property of such setup

The derivative of the function cannot exceed L (Example, $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$) $f'(x) = \lim_{\delta \to \infty} \frac{f(x+\delta) - f(x)}{\delta}$

Then, we have that

An interesting property of such setup

The derivative of the function cannot exceed L (Example, $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$)

$$f'(x) = \lim_{\delta \to \infty} \frac{f(x+\delta) - f(x)}{\delta}$$

Then, we have that

$$f'(x) = \lim_{\delta \to \infty} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \le \lim_{\delta \to \infty} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \le L$$

Therefore

Lipschitz Continuity implies

$$\left|f'\left(x\right)\right| < L$$

<ロト < 回 > < 言 > < 言 > こ > う < ご 14 / 225

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size

Convergence Rate

- Convex Functions
- Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Convergence idea

Definition (Big *O* - Upper Bound) [4]

For a given function g(n):

$$\begin{split} O(g(n)) =& \{f(n)| \text{ There exists } c > 0 \text{ and } n_0 > 0 \\ & \text{s.t. } 0 \leq f(n) \leq cg(n) \ \forall n \geq n_0 \} \end{split}$$

Example

Convergence idea

Definition (Big *O* - Upper Bound) [4]

For a given function g(n):

$$\begin{split} O(g(n)) = & \{f(n)| \text{ There exists } c > 0 \text{ and } n_0 > 0 \\ & \text{s.t. } 0 \leq f(n) \leq cg(n) \; \forall n \geq n_0 \} \end{split}$$

Example



What are the implications?

Definition [3]

• Suppose that the sequence $\{x_k\}$ converges to the number L:

We say that this sequence converges linearly to L_i if there exists a number $\frac{1}{2} \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1} - L|}{|x_n - L|} = \frac{1}{n}$$

Thus, Gradient Descent has a linear convergence speed

• If you do a comparison with quadratic convergence...

What are the implications?

Definition [3]

• Suppose that the sequence $\{x_k\}$ converges to the number L:

We say that this sequence converges linearly to L, if there exists a number $\frac{1}{n} \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1} - L|}{|x_n - L|} = \frac{1}{n}$$

Thus, Gradient Descent has a linear convergence speed

If you do a comparison with quadratic convergence....

What are the implications?

Definition [3]

• Suppose that the sequence $\{x_k\}$ converges to the number L:

We say that this sequence converges linearly to L, if there exists a number $\frac{1}{n} \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{|x_{n+1} - L|}{|x_n - L|} = \frac{1}{n}$$

Thus, Gradient Descent has a linear convergence speed

• If you do a comparison with quadratic convergence...

Example

As you can see the quadratic is faster than linear in convergence



< □ ト < □ ト < ■ ト < ■ ト < ■ ト = の Q () 18/225

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size

Convergence Rate

Convex Functions

- Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Why the importance of Convex Functions?

There is an interest on the rates of convergence for many optimization algorithms

- And they are affected by the different cost function that can be used:
 - Lipschitz-continuity, convexity, strong convexity, and smoothness

There are different rates of convergence for the Gradient Descent

For example when a function is strongly convex.

$abla^{2}f\left(x ight)\succeq \alpha I \Longleftrightarrow abla^{2}f\left(x ight) - \alpha I \succeq 0 \text{ (Matrix greater of equal)}$

This means that

• The curvature of f(x) is not very close to zero, making possible to accelerate the convergence

Why the importance of Convex Functions?

There is an interest on the rates of convergence for many optimization algorithms

- And they are affected by the different cost function that can be used:
 - Lipschitz-continuity, convexity, strong convexity, and smoothness

There are different rates of convergence for the Gradient Descent

• For example when a function is strongly convex

 $\nabla^{2} f(x) \succeq \alpha I \iff \nabla^{2} f(x) - \alpha I \succeq 0$ (Matrix greater of equal)

This means that

• The curvature of f(x) is not very close to zero, making possible to accelerate the convergence

Why the importance of Convex Functions?

There is an interest on the rates of convergence for many optimization algorithms

- And they are affected by the different cost function that can be used:
 - Lipschitz-continuity, convexity, strong convexity, and smoothness

There are different rates of convergence for the Gradient Descent

• For example when a function is strongly convex

$$\nabla^{2} f(x) \succeq \alpha I \iff \nabla^{2} f(x) - \alpha I \succeq 0$$
 (Matrix greater of equal)

This means that

• The curvature of f(x) is not very close to zero, making possible to accelerate the convergence

Convex Sets

Definition

• For a convex set X, for any two points x and y such that $x, y \in X$, the line between them lies within the set

$$oldsymbol{z} = \lambda oldsymbol{x} + (1-\lambda) oldsymbol{y}$$
, $orall heta \in (0,1)$ then $oldsymbol{z} \in X$

• The sum $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y$ is termed as convex linear combination.

Convex Functions

Definition

- ${\mbox{\circ}}$. A function $f({\mbox{x}})$ is convex if the following holds:
 - **1** The Domain of f is convex
 - 2 $\forall \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ in the Domain of f and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$

$$f(\lambda \boldsymbol{x} + (1 - \lambda) \boldsymbol{y}) \leq \lambda f(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1 - \lambda) f(\boldsymbol{y})$$

Graphically

This can further expanded to functions
Graphically

This can further expanded to functions



Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size

Convergence Rate

Convex Functions

Back to the Main Problem

- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
- Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size

Theorem

• Suppose the function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex and differentiable, and we have that $\|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y})\|_2 \le L \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|$ (Lipschitz Continuous Gradient) for any $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ and L > 0.

We have that

 Then, if we run the gradient descent for k iterations with a fixed step size η ≤ ¹/_L, it will yield a solution f_n which satisfies

$$f(x_n) - f(x^*) \le \frac{\left\|x_{(0)} - x^*\right\|_2^2}{2\eta n}$$

where $f\left(x^{*}
ight)$ is the optimal value.

Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size

Theorem

• Suppose the function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex and differentiable, and we have that $\|\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y})\|_2 \le L \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|$ (Lipschitz Continuous Gradient) for any $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ and L > 0.

We have that

• Then, if we run the gradient descent for k iterations with a fixed step size $\eta \leq \frac{1}{L}$, it will yield a solution f_n which satisfies

$$f(x_n) - f(x^*) \le \frac{\left\|x_{(0)} - x^*\right\|_2^2}{2\eta n}$$

where $f(x^*)$ is the optimal value.

 $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is Lipschitz continuous with constant L implies $(\|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}\|^2 = \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}\|^2_2)$

 $\nabla^{2}f\left(x
ight)-LI$ as semi-definite matrix

We have the following inequality

 $f(\boldsymbol{y}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})^T (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 f(\boldsymbol{x}) \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}\|^2$ $\leq f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})^T (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) + \frac{1}{2} L \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}\|^2$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

 $f\left(\bm{x} \right)$ is Lipschitz continuous with constant L implies $(\| \bm{y} - \bm{x} \|^2 = \| \bm{y} - \bm{x} \|_2^2)$

 $\nabla^{2}f\left(x
ight)-LI$ as semi-definite matrix

We have the following inequality

$$\begin{split} f\left(\boldsymbol{y}\right) &= f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) + \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^{2}f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)\|\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2} \\ &\leq f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) + \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}\right) + \frac{1}{2}L\left\|\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}\right\|^{2} \end{split}$$

<ロ><回><一><一><一><一><一><一</td>26/225

Now, if we apply the Gradient update $oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{x}^+ = oldsymbol{x} - \eta
abla f(oldsymbol{x})$

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+}\right) \leq f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) + \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+} - \boldsymbol{x}\right) + \frac{1}{2}L\left\|\boldsymbol{x}^{+} - \boldsymbol{x}\right\|^{2}$$

Now, if we apply the Gradient update $oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{x}^+ = oldsymbol{x} - \eta
abla f(oldsymbol{x})$

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+}\right) \leq f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) + \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+} - \boldsymbol{x}\right) + \frac{1}{2}L\left\|\boldsymbol{x}^{+} - \boldsymbol{x}\right\|^{2}$$
$$= f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) - \eta \left\|\nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}L\eta^{2} \left\|\nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right\|^{2}$$

Now, if we apply the Gradient update $oldsymbol{y} = oldsymbol{x}^+ = oldsymbol{x} - \eta
abla f(oldsymbol{x})$

$$\begin{split} f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+}\right) &\leq f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) + \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+} - \boldsymbol{x}\right) + \frac{1}{2}L\left\|\boldsymbol{x}^{+} - \boldsymbol{x}\right\|^{2} \\ &= f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) - \eta\left\|\nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}L\eta^{2}\left\|\nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right\|^{2} \\ &= f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}L\eta\right)\eta\left\|\nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right\|^{2} \end{split}$$

Using $\eta \leq \frac{1}{L}$

$$-\left(1-\frac{1}{2}L\eta\right) \le -\frac{1}{2}$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 三 の Q (C) 27 / 225

We have that

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+}
ight) \leq f\left(\boldsymbol{x}
ight) - rac{1}{2}\eta \left\|
abla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}
ight)
ight\|^{2}$$

that

 This inequality implies that the objective function value strictly decreases until it reaches the optimal value

This only holds when η is small enough

 This explains why we observe in practice that gradient descent diverges when the step size is too large. (2)

We have that

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+}\right) \leq f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\eta \left\|\nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right\|^{2}$$
(2)

Implying that

• This inequality implies that the objective function value strictly decreases until it reaches the optimal value

This only holds when η is small enough

 This explains why we observe in practice that gradient descent diverges when the step size is too large.

We have that

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+}\right) \leq f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\eta \left\|\nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right\|^{2}$$
(2)

Implying that

• This inequality implies that the objective function value strictly decreases until it reaches the optimal value

This only holds when η is small enough

 This explains why we observe in practice that gradient descent diverges when the step size is too large.

Since f is convex

We can write

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}) \geq f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}^{*} - \boldsymbol{x})$$
$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq f(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})^{T} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*})$$

This comes from the "First order condition for convexity"

 $f(\boldsymbol{y}) \ge f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})^T (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 29 / 225

Since f is convex

We can write

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}) \geq f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})^{T} (\boldsymbol{x}^{*} - \boldsymbol{x})$$
$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq f(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})^{T} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*})$$

This comes from the "First order condition for convexity"

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}) \ge f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})^{T} (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})$$

(ロ) (部) (言) (言) (言) (で) (100 mm) (29/225)

Plugging this in to (Equation 2)

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+}\right) \leq f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) + \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\eta \left\|\nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right\|^{2}$$

Therefore

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+}\right) - f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left[\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\|^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{x} - \eta \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\|^{2} \right]$$

Then plugging this $x^+ = x - \eta
abla f(x)$ into

 $f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+}\right) - f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left[\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\|^{2} - \left\|\boldsymbol{x}^{+} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right\|^{2} \right]$

<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト 三 の Q (C 30 / 225

Plugging this in to (Equation 2)

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+}\right) \leq f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) + \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\eta \left\|\nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right\|^{2}$$

Therefore

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+}\right) - f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left[\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\|^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{x} - \eta \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\|^{2} \right]$$

Then plugging this $x^+ = x - \eta abla f(x)$ into

 $f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+}\right) - f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left[\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\|^{2} - \left\|\boldsymbol{x}^{+} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right\|^{2} \right]$

Plugging this in to (Equation 2)

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+}\right) \leq f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) + \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)^{T}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\eta \left\|\nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right\|^{2}$$

Therefore

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{+}\right) - f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left[\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\|^{2} - \|\boldsymbol{x} - \eta \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\|^{2} \right]$$

Then plugging this $\boldsymbol{x}^{+} = \boldsymbol{x} - \eta \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)$ into

$$f\left(oldsymbol{x}^{+}
ight)-f\left(oldsymbol{x}^{*}
ight)\leqrac{1}{2\eta}\left[\|oldsymbol{x}-oldsymbol{x}^{*}\|^{2}-\left\|oldsymbol{x}^{+}-oldsymbol{x}^{*}
ight\|^{2}
ight]$$

Summing over all iterations and the telescopic sum in the right side

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}\right) - f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) \right] \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left[\left\| \boldsymbol{x}^{(0)} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*} \right\|^{2} \right]$$

Finally, using the fact that *f* decreasing on every iteration

 $f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\left(n\right)}\right) - f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\left(i\right)}\right) - f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) \right] \leq \frac{1}{2\eta n} \left[\left\| \boldsymbol{x}^{\left(0\right)} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*} \right\|^{2} \right]$

Summing over all iterations and the telescopic sum in the right side

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}\right) - f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) \right] \leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left[\left\| \boldsymbol{x}^{(0)} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*} \right\|^{2} \right]$$

Finally, using the fact that f decreasing on every iteration

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}\right) - f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}\right) - f\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) \right] \leq \frac{1}{2\eta n} \left[\left\| \boldsymbol{x}^{(0)} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*} \right\|^{2} \right]$$

It converges with rate

$$O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト 三 の Q (C 32 / 225

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem

Accelerating the Gradient Descent

Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Accelerating the Gradient Descent

It is possible to modify the Batch Gradient Descent

• In order to accelerate it several modifications have been proposed

Possible Methods

- Polyak's Momentum Method or Heavy-Ball Method (1964)
- Nesterov's Proposal (1983)
- Stochastic Gradient Descent (1951)

Accelerating the Gradient Descent

It is possible to modify the Batch Gradient Descent

• In order to accelerate it several modifications have been proposed

Possible Methods

- Polyak's Momentum Method or Heavy-Ball Method (1964)
- Nesterov's Proposal (1983)
- Stochastic Gradient Descent (1951)

Polyak's Momentum Method

Polyak's Step Size

• He Proposed that the step size could be modified to

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \alpha \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n\right) + \mu\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1}\right) \text{ with } \mu \in \left[0,1\right], \alpha > 0$$

Basically, the method uses the previous gradient information through the step difference (w_n-w_{n-1})

By the discretization of the second order ODE

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{w}} + a\dot{\boldsymbol{w}} + b\nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) = 0$$

which models the motion of a body in a potential field given by f with friction.

Polyak's Momentum Method

Polyak's Step Size

• He Proposed that the step size could be modified to

$$oldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = oldsymbol{w}_n - lpha
abla f(oldsymbol{w}_n) + \mu \left(oldsymbol{w}_n - oldsymbol{w}_{n-1}
ight)$$
 with $\mu \in \left[0,1
ight], lpha > 0$

Basically, the method uses the previous gradient information through the step difference $(w_n - w_{n-1})$

• By the discretization of the second order ODE

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{w}} + a\dot{\boldsymbol{w}} + b\nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) = 0$$

▶ which models the motion of a body in a potential field given by *f* with friction.

The Momentum helps to stabilize the GD

If we do not have Momentum



Then, with Momentum

If we have Momentum



Problem

It has been proved that the method has problems

• L. Lessard, B. Recht, and A. Packard. Analysis and Design of Optimization Algorithms via Integral Quadratic Constraints. ArXiv e-prints, Aug. 2014.

Under the function

 $\nabla f(x) = \begin{cases} 25x & \text{if } x < 1\\ x + 24 & \text{if } 1 \le x \le 2\\ 25x - 24 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$

Problem

It has been proved that the method has problems

• L. Lessard, B. Recht, and A. Packard. Analysis and Design of Optimization Algorithms via Integral Quadratic Constraints. ArXiv e-prints, Aug. 2014.

Under the function

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{cases} 25x & \text{if } x < 1\\ x + 24 & \text{if } 1 \le x \le 2\\ 25x - 24 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 38 / 225

In Lessard et al.



Nesterov's Proposal

He proposed a Quasi-Convex Combination

Instead to use

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \alpha \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n\right) + \mu\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1}\right)$$

Have an intermediate step to update $m{w}_n$

 $\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = (1 - \gamma_n) \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} + \gamma_n \boldsymbol{y}_n$

This allow to weight the actual original gradient change

 with the previous gradient change... making possible to avoid the original problem by Polyak... Which is based in Lyapunov Analysis

Nesterov's Proposal

He proposed a Quasi-Convex Combination

Instead to use

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \alpha \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n\right) + \mu \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1}\right)$$

Have an intermediate step to update $oldsymbol{w}_{n+1}$

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = (1 - \gamma_n) \, \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} + \gamma_n \boldsymbol{y}_n$$

his allow to weight the actual original gradient change

 with the previous gradient change... making possible to avoid the original problem by Polyak... Which is based in Lyapunov Analysis

Nesterov's Proposal

He proposed a Quasi-Convex Combination

Instead to use

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \alpha \nabla f\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n\right) + \mu\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1}\right)$$

Have an intermediate step to update $oldsymbol{w}_{n+1}$

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = (1 - \gamma_n) \, \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} + \gamma_n \boldsymbol{y}_n$$

This allow to weight the actual original gradient change

• with the previous gradient change... making possible to avoid the original problem by Polyak... Which is based in Lyapunov Analysis

Nesterov's Proposal [6]

Nesterov's Accelerated Gradient Descent (A Quasi-Convex Modification)

$$\boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}_n)$$
$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = (1 - \gamma_n) \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} + \gamma_n \boldsymbol{y}_n$$

Where, we use the following constants

$$\lambda_0 = 0$$

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda_{n-1}^2}}{2}$$

$$\gamma_n = \frac{1 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}$$

Nesterov's Proposal [6]

Nesterov's Accelerated Gradient Descent (A Quasi-Convex Modification)

$$\boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}_n)$$
$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = (1 - \gamma_n) \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} + \gamma_n \boldsymbol{y}_n$$

Where, we use the following constants

$$\lambda_0 = 0$$

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda_{n-1}^2}}{2}$$

$$\gamma_n = \frac{1 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}$$

・ロ・・(型・・川・・(型・・ロ・)

41/225

Nesterov's Algorithm

Nesterov Accelerated Gradient

Input: Training Time T, Learning Rate β , an initialization $oldsymbol{w}_0$

- $\bigcirc \lambda_0 \leftarrow 0$
 -) for t=0 to T-1 do
 - $\mathbf{y}_{n+1} = \mathbf{w}_n \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\mathbf{w}_n \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J \right)$
 - $\lambda_{n+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda_n^2}}{2}$ $\gamma_n = \frac{1 \lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}$
 - $\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = (1 \gamma_n) \, \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} + \gamma_n \boldsymbol{y}_n$
Nesterov Accelerated Gradient

Input: Training Time T, Learning Rate β , an initialization w_0

- $\mathbf{0} \ y_0 \leftarrow \boldsymbol{w}_0$
- $\mathbf{2} \ \lambda_0 \leftarrow 0$
- in t=0 to T-1 do

Nesterov Accelerated Gradient

Input: Training Time T, Learning Rate β , an initialization w_0

- $2 \ \lambda_0 \leftarrow 0$
- **3** for t = 0 to T 1 do

$$\mathbf{O} \qquad \mathbf{y}_{n+1} = \mathbf{w}_n - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J(\mathbf{w}_n)$$

Nesterov Accelerated Gradient

Input: Training Time T, Learning Rate β , an initialization $oldsymbol{w}_0$

 $\mathbf{2} \ \lambda_0 \leftarrow 0$ **3** for t = 0 to T - 1 do $\boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}_n)$ 4 $\lambda_n = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda_{n-1}^2}}{2}$ 5

Nesterov Accelerated Gradient

Input: Training Time T, Learning Rate β , an initialization w_0

 $\lambda_0 \leftarrow 0$ **3** for t = 0 to T - 1 do $\boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n\right)$ 4 $\lambda_n = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda_{n-1}^2}}{2}$ 5 $\lambda_{n+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda_n^2}}{2}$ 6

Nesterov Accelerated Gradient

Input: Training Time T, Learning Rate β , an initialization $oldsymbol{w}_0$

 $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad y_0 \leftarrow w_0 \\ \bullet \quad \lambda_0 \leftarrow 0 \\ \bullet \quad \mathbf{for} \ t = 0 \ \mathbf{to} \ T - 1 \ \mathbf{do} \\ \bullet \quad \mathbf{y}_{n+1} = \mathbf{w}_n - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\mathbf{w}_n \right) \\ \bullet \quad \lambda_n = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda_{n-1}^2}}{2} \\ \bullet \quad \lambda_{n+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda_n^2}}{2} \\ \bullet \quad \gamma_n = \frac{1 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+1}} \end{array}$

Nesterov Accelerated Gradient

Input: Training Time T, Learning Rate β , an initialization $oldsymbol{w}_0$

 $\mathbf{2} \ \lambda_0 \leftarrow \mathbf{0}$ **3** for t = 0 to T - 1 do $\boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n\right)$ 4 $\lambda_n = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda_{n-1}^2}}{2}$ 5 $\lambda_{n+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\lambda_n^2}}{2}$ 6 $\gamma_n = \frac{1-\lambda_n}{\lambda_n}$ 7 $\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = (1 - \gamma_n) \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} + \gamma_n \boldsymbol{y}_n$ 8

With the following complexity

Theorem (Nesterov 1983)

 Let f be a convex and β-smooth function (∇f is β-Lipschitz continous), then Nesterov's Accelerated Gradient Descent satisfies:

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}) - f(\boldsymbol{w}^*) \le \frac{2\beta \|\boldsymbol{w}_1 - \boldsymbol{w}^*\|^2}{n^2}$$

to the second seco

With the following complexity

Theorem (Nesterov 1983)

 Let f be a convex and β-smooth function (∇f is β-Lipschitz continous), then Nesterov's Accelerated Gradient Descent satisfies:

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}) - f(\boldsymbol{w}^*) \le \frac{2\beta \|\boldsymbol{w}_1 - \boldsymbol{w}^*\|^2}{n^2}$$

It converges with rate

$$O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)$$

Example

As you can see Nesterov is faster...



Remark, Polyak vs Nesterov

We have a remarkable difference

• The gradient descent step (orange arrow) is perpendicular to the level set before applying momentum to w_1 (red arrow) in Polyak's algorithm



If we rewrite the equations

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = (1 - \gamma_n) \left[\boldsymbol{w}_n - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) \right] + \gamma_n \boldsymbol{y}_n$$

If we rewrite the equations

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = (1 - \gamma_n) \left[\boldsymbol{w}_n - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) \right] + \gamma_n \boldsymbol{y}_n$$

= $\boldsymbol{w}_n - \gamma_n \boldsymbol{w}_n - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) + \frac{\gamma_n}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) + \gamma_n \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} - \frac{\gamma_n}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} \right)$

If we rewrite the equations

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = (1 - \gamma_n) \left[\boldsymbol{w}_n - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) \right] + \gamma_n \boldsymbol{y}_n$$

= $\boldsymbol{w}_n - \gamma_n \boldsymbol{w}_n - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) + \frac{\gamma_n}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) + \gamma_n \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} - \frac{\gamma_n}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} \right)$
= $\boldsymbol{w}_n - \gamma_n \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} \right) - \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) + \gamma_n \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) - \gamma_n \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} \right) \right]$

If we rewrite the equations

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = (1 - \gamma_n) \left[\boldsymbol{w}_n - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) \right] + \gamma_n \boldsymbol{y}_n$$

= $\boldsymbol{w}_n - \gamma_n \boldsymbol{w}_n - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) + \frac{\gamma_n}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) + \gamma_n \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} - \frac{\gamma_n}{\beta} \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} \right)$
= $\boldsymbol{w}_n - \gamma_n \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} \right) - \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) + \gamma_n \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) - \gamma_n \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} \right) \right]$
= $\boldsymbol{w}_n - \gamma_n \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} \right) - \frac{1}{\beta} \left[\nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n + \gamma_n \left[\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} \right] \right) \right]$

In Nesterov

We have a remarkable difference

• it is perpendicular to the level set after applying momentum to w_1 in Nesterov's algorithm.



There is a dependence with respect with different properties of f

In this table, we can see upper bounds for the convergences $D = \|\boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2$ and λ regularization term [7]

Properties of the Objective Function	Upper Bound for Gradient Descent
convex and <i>L</i> -Lipschitz	$\frac{D_1L}{\sqrt{n}}$
convex and β -smooth	$\frac{\beta D_1^2}{n}$
α -strongly convex and L -Lipschitz	$\frac{L^2}{\alpha n}$
$lpha ext{-strongly convex and }eta ext{-smooth}$	$\beta D_1^2 \exp\left(-\frac{4n}{\beta/\lambda}\right)$

A Hierarchy can be established (Black Box Model)

Based on the following idea

• A black box model assumes that the algorithm does not know the objective function *f* being minimized.

Not only that

 Information about the objective function can only be accessed by querying an oracle.

Remarks

 The oracle serves as a bridge between the unknown objective function and the optimizer. A Hierarchy can be established (Black Box Model)

Based on the following idea

• A black box model assumes that the algorithm does not know the objective function *f* being minimized.

Not only that

 Information about the objective function can only be accessed by querying an oracle.

Remarks

 The oracle serves as a bridge between the unknown objective function and the optimizer. A Hierarchy can be established (Black Box Model)

Based on the following idea

• A black box model assumes that the algorithm does not know the objective function *f* being minimized.

Not only that

• Information about the objective function can only be accessed by querying an oracle.

Remarks

• The oracle serves as a bridge between the unknown objective function and the optimizer.

Furthermore

At any given step, the optimizer queries the oracle with a guess $m{x}$

ullet The oracle responds with information about the function around x

For Example

Value of the Cost function, Gradient, Hessian, etc.

Furthermore

At any given step, the optimizer queries the oracle with a guess $oldsymbol{x}$

ullet The oracle responds with information about the function around x

For Example

• Value of the Cost function, Gradient, Hessian, etc.

Then, we have

Zeroth Order Methods

- These methods only require the value of function *f* at the current guess *x*.
 - The Bisection, Genetic Algorithms, Simulated Annealing and Metropolis-Hastings methods

First Order Methods

- These methods can inquire the value of the function f and its first derivative.
 - ▶ Gradient descent, Nesterov's and and Polyak's

Second Order Methods

- These methods require the value of the function f, its first derivative (gradient), and its second derivative (Hessian).
 - Newton's method. Improving the efficiency of these algorithms is an active area of research.

Then, we have

Zeroth Order Methods

- These methods only require the value of function f at the current guess x.
 - The Bisection, Genetic Algorithms, Simulated Annealing and Metropolis-Hastings methods

First Order Methods

- $\bullet\,$ These methods can inquire the value of the function f and its first derivative.
 - Gradient descent, Nesterov's and and Polyak's

Second Order Methods

- These methods require the value of the function f, its first derivative (gradient), and its second derivative (Hessian).
 - Newton's method. Improving the efficiency of these algorithms is an active area of research.

Then, we have

Zeroth Order Methods

- These methods only require the value of function f at the current guess x.
 - The Bisection, Genetic Algorithms, Simulated Annealing and Metropolis-Hastings methods

First Order Methods

- These methods can inquire the value of the function f and its first derivative.
 - Gradient descent, Nesterov's and and Polyak's

Second Order Methods

- These methods require the value of the function f, its first derivative (gradient), and its second derivative (Hessian).
 - Newton's method. Improving the efficiency of these algorithms is an active area of research.

One of the Last Hierarchy

Adaptive Methods and Conjugate Gradients

 The methods we mentioned until this point assume that all dimensions of a vector-valued variable have a common set of hyperparameters.

Adaptive methods relax this assumption

They allow for every variable to have its own set of hyperparameters.

Some popular methods under this paradigm

AdaGrad and ADAM

One of the Last Hierarchy

Adaptive Methods and Conjugate Gradients

 The methods we mentioned until this point assume that all dimensions of a vector-valued variable have a common set of hyperparameters.

Adaptive methods relax this assumption

• They allow for every variable to have its own set of hyperparameters.

Some popular methods under this paradigm

AdaGrad and ADAM

One of the Last Hierarchy

Adaptive Methods and Conjugate Gradients

 The methods we mentioned until this point assume that all dimensions of a vector-valued variable have a common set of hyperparameters.

Adaptive methods relax this assumption

• They allow for every variable to have its own set of hyperparameters.

Some popular methods under this paradigm

• AdaGrad and ADAM

Finally, but not less important

Lower Bounds

• Lower bounds are useful because they tell us what's the best possible rate of convergence we can have given a category of optimizer.

Something Notable

 Without lower bounds, an unnecessary amount of research energy would be spent in designing better optimizers

 Even if convergence rate improvement is impossible within this category of algorithm

However, if we prove that each procedure has a lower bounded rate of convergence

We do not know if a specific method reaches this bound.

Finally, but not less important

Lower Bounds

• Lower bounds are useful because they tell us what's the best possible rate of convergence we can have given a category of optimizer.

Something Notable

- Without lower bounds, an unnecessary amount of research energy would be spent in designing better optimizers
 - Even if convergence rate improvement is impossible within this category of algorithm

However, if we prove that each procedure has a lower bounded rate of convergence

We do not know if a specific method reaches this bound.

Finally, but not less important

Lower Bounds

• Lower bounds are useful because they tell us what's the best possible rate of convergence we can have given a category of optimizer.

Something Notable

- Without lower bounds, an unnecessary amount of research energy would be spent in designing better optimizers
 - Even if convergence rate improvement is impossible within this category of algorithm

However, if we prove that each procedure has a lower bounded rate of convergence

• We do not know if a specific method reaches this bound.

However

Please, take a look

• Convex Optimization: Algorithms and Complexity by Sébastien Bubeck - Theory Group, Microsoft Research [7]

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
- Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

In our classic Convex Scenario [2]

Least Square Problem locking to minimize the average of the LSE

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} f\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) = \min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{m=1}^M \left(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_m - y_m \right)^2 = \min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2M} \| X \boldsymbol{w} - Y \|^2$$

Therefore

Calculating the Gradient

$$abla_{\boldsymbol{w}} f(\boldsymbol{x}) = rac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left(\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}_m - y_m \right) \boldsymbol{x}_m$$

Observations

It is easy to verify that the complexity per iteration is O(dM)

• With M is for the sum and d is for $w^T x_m$.

Drawbacks

When the number of samples ${\cal M}$ is Large

• Even with a rate of linear convergence, Gradient Descent

Not only that but in the On-line Learning scenario

 The data (x_i, y_i) is coming one by one making the gradient not computable.
Drawbacks

When the number of samples M is Large

• Even with a rate of linear convergence, Gradient Descent

Not only that but in the On-line Learning scenario

• The data (\boldsymbol{x}_i, y_i) is coming one by one making the gradient not computable.

Thus, the need to look for something faster

• Two possibilities:

Accelerating Gradient Decent Using Stochastic Gradient Descent!!! Accelerating Gradient Descent Using The Best of Both World, Min-Batch!!!

Thus, the need to look for something faster

- Two possibilities:
 - Accelerating Gradient Decent Using Stochastic Gradient Descent!!!

Accelerating Gradient Descent Using The Best of Both World Min-Batch!!!

Thus, the need to look for something faster

• Two possibilities:

- Accelerating Gradient Decent Using Stochastic Gradient Descent!!!
- Accelerating Gradient Descent Using The Best of Both World, Min-Batch!!!

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error

- Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Using the Mean Squared Error (MSE)

It is used to measure how good our estimators are

• The average squared difference between the estimated values and what is estimated

We have the following equation :

$$MSE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = E\left[(y - \hat{y})^2 \right]$$

Using the Mean Squared Error (MSE)

It is used to measure how good our estimators are

• The average squared difference between the estimated values and what is estimated

We have the following equation

$$MSE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = E\left[(y - \hat{y})^2 \right]$$

Then, we have that

This Measure is equal to (We know this as the Variance-Bias Trade-off)

$$MSE = \underbrace{Var_D\left(\hat{y}|\boldsymbol{x}\in D\right)}_{Variance} + \underbrace{\left(E_D\left[\hat{y}-y|\boldsymbol{x}\in D\right]\right)^2}_{BIAS}$$

If the MSE is small

 We expect that, on average, the resulting estimates to be close to the true value.

Then, we have that

This Measure is equal to (We know this as the Variance-Bias Trade-off)

$$MSE = \underbrace{Var_D\left(\hat{y}|\boldsymbol{x}\in D\right)}_{Variance} + \underbrace{\left(E_D\left[\hat{y}-y|\boldsymbol{x}\in D\right]\right)^2}_{BIAS}$$

If the MSE is small

• We expect that, on average, the resulting estimates to be close to the true value.

Furthermore

What will happen if we can decrease the Variance at MSE

• In such a way that the bias does not produce a too simplistic \hat{y} ?

Then, we want as the process MSE_t evolves over time .

• $Var_D^{(t)}(\hat{y}|x \in D) \to V > 0$ as $t \to \infty$ to avoid over-fitting • $(E_D [\hat{y} - y|x \in D])^2 \to B > 0$ as $t \to \infty$ to avoid over-fitting

Furthermore

What will happen if we can decrease the Variance at MSE

• In such a way that the bias does not produce a too simplistic \hat{y} ?

Then, we want as the process MSE_t evolves over time

Therefore, if we think in the parameters ${\boldsymbol w}$ of a Linear Model

We have a function

$$L(\boldsymbol{w}) = \left(E_D\left[\boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x} \in D\right]\right)^2$$

We can see that the optimal w^* as the root of the function ∇L the minimal possible for L

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} L\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{*}\right) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} \left(E_{D} \left[\boldsymbol{w}^{*T} \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} | \boldsymbol{x} \in D \right] \right)^{2} = 0 + \epsilon \text{ with } \epsilon \sim p\left(\epsilon | \theta \right)$$

• and ϵ is small enough

Therefore, if we think in the parameters \boldsymbol{w} of a Linear Model

We have a function

$$L(\boldsymbol{w}) = \left(E_D\left[\boldsymbol{w}^T x - y | \boldsymbol{x} \in D\right]\right)^2$$

We can see that the optimal w^* as the root of the function ∇L the minimal possible for L

$$abla_{oldsymbol{w}}L\left(oldsymbol{w}^{*}
ight) =
abla_{oldsymbol{w}}\left(E_{D}\left[oldsymbol{w}^{*T}x - y|oldsymbol{x}\in D
ight]
ight)^{2} = 0 + \epsilon ext{ with } \epsilon \sim p\left(\epsilon| heta
ight)$$

• and ϵ is small enough

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error Now Doing an Analysis of MSE

- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

The MSE Linear Estimation, the Normal Equations

It was proved in slide set 2

• The optimal Mean-Square Error estimate of y given the value X = x is

$$E\left[y|\boldsymbol{x}\right] = \widehat{y}$$

In general, a nonlinear function.

For Linear Estimators, in $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n imes \mathbb{R}$ joint distributed random variables of zero mean values

• Our goal is to obtain an estimate of $oldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (Our Unknown heta) in the linear estimator model

$$\widehat{y} = \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}$$

The MSE Linear Estimation, the Normal Equations

It was proved in slide set 2

• The optimal Mean-Square Error estimate of y given the value X = x is

$$E\left[y|\boldsymbol{x}\right] = \widehat{y}$$

In general, a nonlinear function.

For Linear Estimators, in $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ joint distributed random variables of zero mean values

• Our goal is to obtain an estimate of $oldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (Our Unknown heta) in the linear estimator model

$$\widehat{y} = \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}$$

Thus, using MSE as the Cost Equation

Cost Function

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}) = E\left[\left(y - \hat{y}\right)^2\right]$$

Thus, we are looking for an estimator that minimize the variance of the error

$$\epsilon = y - \widehat{y}$$

We want to Minimize the cost function $J\left(w
ight)$ by finding an optimal w^{*}

$$\boldsymbol{w}^{*} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} J(\boldsymbol{w})$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト ミ の < C 68/225

Thus, using MSE as the Cost Equation

Cost Function

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}) = E\left[\left(y - \widehat{y}\right)^2\right]$$

Thus, we are looking for an estimator that minimize the variance of the error

$$\epsilon = y - \widehat{y}$$

We want to Minimize the cost function $J\left(w
ight)$ by finding an optimal w^{*}

$$\boldsymbol{w}^* = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} J(\boldsymbol{w})$$

Thus, using MSE as the Cost Equation

Cost Function

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}) = E\left[\left(y - \hat{y}\right)^2\right]$$

Thus, we are looking for an estimator that minimize the variance of the error

$$\epsilon = y - \widehat{y}$$

We want to **Minimize** the cost function $J(\boldsymbol{w})$ by finding an optimal \boldsymbol{w}^*

$$\boldsymbol{w}^{*} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} J\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Then, we can simply use $abla J\left(oldsymbol{w} ight) = 0$

We have

$$\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}) = \nabla E\left[\left(y - \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}\right)^2\right]$$

= $\nabla \left[\left(y - \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}\right)^2\right]$
= $\nabla \left[\left(y - \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x}\right)^2\right]$

Where, we have

$$\boldsymbol{p} = \left[E\left[yx_{1}\right], E\left[yx_{2}\right], ..., E\left[yx_{d}\right]\right] = E\left[\boldsymbol{x}y\right]$$
$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}} = E\left[\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^{T}\right]$$

Then, we can simply use $abla J\left(oldsymbol{w} ight) = 0$

We have

$$\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}) = \nabla E\left[\left(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{w}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= \nabla E\left[\left(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{w}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{w}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right]$$

/Vhere, we have

$$p = [E[yx_1], E[yx_2], ..., E[yx_d]] = E[xy]$$
$$\Sigma_x = E[xx^T]$$

Then, we can simply use $\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}) = 0$

We have

$$\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}) = \nabla E\left[\left(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{w}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= \nabla E\left[\left(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{w}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{w}^{T}\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right]$$
$$= \nabla \left\{E\left[\boldsymbol{y}^{2}\right] - 2\boldsymbol{w}^{T}E\left[\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{y}\right] + \boldsymbol{w}^{T}E\left[\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^{T}\right]\boldsymbol{w}^{T}\right\}$$

Where, we have

$$p = [E[yx_1], E[yx_2], ..., E[yx_d]] = E[xy]$$
$$\Sigma_x = E[xx^T]$$

Then, we can simply use $\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}) = 0$

We have

$$\nabla J (\boldsymbol{w}) = \nabla E \left[\left(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x} \right)^2 \right]$$
$$= \nabla E \left[\left(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{x} \right) \right]$$
$$= \nabla \left\{ E \left[\boldsymbol{y}^2 \right] - 2 \boldsymbol{w}^T E \left[\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{y} \right] + \boldsymbol{w}^T E \left[\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}^T \right] \boldsymbol{w}^T \right\}$$
$$= -2\boldsymbol{p} + 2\Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w} = 0$$

Where, we have

$$\boldsymbol{p} = [E[yx_1], E[yx_2], ..., E[yx_d]] = E[\boldsymbol{x}y]$$
$$\Sigma_x = E[\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}^T]$$

This generates what is know as

Then, we get the Normal Equations

$$\Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w}^* = \boldsymbol{p}$$

<ロト < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < の < の </td>70/225

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 Now Doing an Analysis of MSE

First, the Gradient Descent Method

- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Therefore, we have

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \mu \left[-\boldsymbol{p} + \Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w}_n \right]$$



Therefore, we have

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \mu \left[-\boldsymbol{p} + \Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w}_n \right]$$

Finally, we have that

$$\boldsymbol{w}_n = \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} + \mu \left[\boldsymbol{p} - \Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} \right]$$



Therefore, we have

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \mu \left[-\boldsymbol{p} + \Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w}_n \right]$$

Finally, we have that

$$\boldsymbol{w}_n = \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} + \mu \left[\boldsymbol{p} - \Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} \right]$$

Then, the final idea is to find a μ

• Which allows for convergence!!!

This is the first step in the idea of Stochastic Gradient Descen

Given that SGD depends on specifics μ

Therefore, we have

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \mu \left[-\boldsymbol{p} + \Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w}_n \right]$$

Finally, we have that

$$\boldsymbol{w}_n = \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} + \mu \left[\boldsymbol{p} - \Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} \right]$$

Then, the final idea is to find a μ

- Which allows for convergence!!!
- This is the first step in the idea of Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)
 - Given that SGD depends on specifics μ

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method

Analysis about μ

- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

How can we do this?

We can use our error to measure the convergence by μ

$$c_n = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}^*$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}^* = \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} + \mu \left[\boldsymbol{p} - \Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} \right] - \boldsymbol{w}^*$$

Then

$$c_n = c_{n-1} + \mu \left[p - \Sigma_x \left(c_{n-1} + w^* \right) \right]$$

How can we do this?

We can use our error to measure the convergence by $\boldsymbol{\mu}$

$$c_n = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}^*$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}^* = \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} + \mu \left[\boldsymbol{p} - \Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} \right] - \boldsymbol{w}^*$$

$c_n = c_{n-1} + \mu \left[\boldsymbol{p} - \Sigma_x \left(c_{n-1} + \boldsymbol{w}^* \right) \right]$

How can we do this?

We can use our error to measure the convergence by μ

$$c_n = \boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}^*$$

Thus, we obtain

$$w_n - w^* = w_{n-1} + \mu [p - \Sigma_x w_{n-1}] - w^*$$

Then

$$c_n = c_{n-1} + \mu \left[\boldsymbol{p} - \Sigma_x \left(c_{n-1} + \boldsymbol{w}^* \right) \right]$$

<ロト イクト イミト イミト ミークへで 74/225

Remembering $\Sigma_x w^* = p$

• We can try to guess the rate of convergence:

$$c_n = Ic_{n-1} - \mu [\Sigma_x c_{n-1}] = [I - \mu \Sigma_x] c_{n-1}$$

Remember that

$$\Sigma_x = Q \Lambda Q^T$$
 with $Q Q^T = I$

Remembering $\Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w}^* = \boldsymbol{p}$

• We can try to guess the rate of convergence:

$$c_n = Ic_{n-1} - \mu [\Sigma_x c_{n-1}] = [I - \mu \Sigma_x] c_{n-1}$$

Remember that

$$\Sigma_x = Q \Lambda Q^T$$
 with $Q Q^T = I$

Then, we can build the following iterative process

We have

$$c_{n} = \left[QQ^{T} - \mu Q\Lambda Q^{T} \right] c_{n-1} = Q \left[I - \mu \Lambda \right] Q^{T} c_{n-1}$$

Finally, using $oldsymbol{v}_n=Q^*\, lpha$

 $\boldsymbol{v}_n = [I - \mu \Lambda] \, \boldsymbol{v}_{n-1}$

<ロト < 回 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 三 の Q (C) 76/225
Then, we can build the following iterative process

We have

$$c_{n} = \left[QQ^{T} - \mu Q\Lambda Q^{T} \right] c_{n-1} = Q \left[I - \mu \Lambda \right] Q^{T} c_{n-1}$$

Finally, using
$$\boldsymbol{v}_n = Q^T c_n$$

$$\boldsymbol{v}_n = \left[I - \mu \Lambda\right] \boldsymbol{v}_{n-1}$$

<ロト < 回 > < 巨 > < 巨 > < 巨 > < 巨 > < 巨 > < 巨 > < つ < C 76/225

Iterating over all the sequence

We have by using recursion

$$\boldsymbol{v}\left(i\right) = \left[I - \mu\Lambda\right]^{i} \boldsymbol{v}\left(0\right)$$

Thus, for each component

 $\boldsymbol{v_{ji}} = (1 - \mu \lambda_j)^i \, \boldsymbol{v_{j0}}$

Now, we have that

$$|1-\mu\lambda_j|<1$$
 for all $j=1,2,...,d$

<ロト < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > 77 / 225

Iterating over all the sequence

We have by using recursion

$$\boldsymbol{v}\left(i\right) = \left[I - \mu\Lambda\right]^{i} \boldsymbol{v}\left(0\right)$$

Thus, for each component

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{ji} = (1 - \mu \lambda_j)^i \, \boldsymbol{v}_{j0}$$

Now, we have that

 $|1-\mu\lambda_j|<1$ for all j=1,2,...,d

Iterating over all the sequence

We have by using recursion

$$\boldsymbol{v}\left(i\right) = \left[I - \mu\Lambda\right]^{i} \boldsymbol{v}\left(0\right)$$

Thus, for each component

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{ji} = (1 - \mu \lambda_j)^i \, \boldsymbol{v}_{j0}$$

Now, we have that

$$|1 - \mu \lambda_j| < 1$$
 for all $j = 1, 2, ..., d$

Or in an equivalent way

We have that

$$-1 < 1 - \mu \lambda_{max} < 1$$

$$-1 < -\mu \lambda_{max} < 0$$

$$0 < \mu \lambda_{max} < 2$$

Finally, we obtain a convergence condition

 $0 < \mu < \frac{2}{\lambda_{max}}$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 78/225

Or in an equivalent way

We have that

$$-1 < 1 - \mu \lambda_{max} < 1$$
$$-1 < -\mu \lambda_{max} < 0$$
$$0 < \mu \lambda_{max} < 2$$

Finally, we obtain a convergence condition

$$0 < \mu < \frac{2}{\lambda_{max}}$$

What about the Rate of Convergence?

As you can see the quadratic is faster than linear in convergence



<ロト < □ ト < □ ト < 亘 ト < 亘 ト = の Q (C) 79 / 225

What about the Rate of Convergence?



 Given the evolution of this curve, f(t)

Then, we can assume $f(t) = \exp\{-t/\tau_j\}$

• We can try to guess the rate of convergence τ_i .

Then we have t=iT and t=(i-1)T

• Assuming a step size of T

Then, using $oldsymbol{v}_{ji} = \left[1 - \mu \lambda_j ight] oldsymbol{v}_{ji-1}$

 $\exp\{-iT/\tau_{j}\} = [1 - \mu\lambda_{j}] \exp\{-(i-1)T/\tau_{j}\}$

 Given the evolution of this curve, f(t)

Then, we can assume $f(t) = \exp\{-t/\tau_j\}$

We can try to guess the rate of convergence τ_i.

Then we have t = iT and t = (i - 1)T

• Assuming a step size of T

$\exp\{-iT/\tau_j\} = [1 - \mu\lambda_j] \exp\{-(i-1)T/\tau_j\}$

・ロ ・ < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト ミ つ へ で 81/225 Given the evolution of this curve, f(t)

Then, we can assume
$$f\left(t
ight)=\exp\left\{-t/ au_{j}
ight\}$$

• We can try to guess the rate of convergence τ_i .

Then we have
$$t = iT$$
 and $t = (i - 1) T$.

• Assuming a step size of T

Then, using $oldsymbol{v}_{ji} = \left[1 - \mu \lambda_j\right] oldsymbol{v}_{ji-1}$

$$\exp\left\{-iT/\tau_j\right\} = \left[1 - \mu\lambda_j\right] \exp\left\{-(i-1)T/\tau_j\right\}$$

<ロト < 回 > < 直 > < 直 > < 亘 > < 亘 > < 亘 > < 亘 > < 0 < 0 81/225

Then, Solving the Equation

We have applying the function \ln

$$-\frac{iT}{\tau_j} = \ln\left[1 - \mu\lambda_j\right] - \frac{(i-1)T}{\tau_j}$$

Solving, we have

$$\tau_j = -\frac{1}{\ln\left(1 - \mu\lambda_j\right)}$$

The time constant results as

$$au_j pprox rac{1}{\mu\lambda_j}$$
 for $\mu \ll 1$

 The slowest rate of convergence is associated with the component that corresponds to the smallest eigenvalue.

Then, Solving the Equation

We have applying the function \ln

$$-\frac{iT}{\tau_j} = \ln\left[1 - \mu\lambda_j\right] - \frac{(i-1)T}{\tau_j}$$

Solving, we have

$$\tau_j = -\frac{1}{\ln\left(1 - \mu\lambda_j\right)}$$

The time constant results as

$$au_j pprox rac{1}{\mu\lambda_j}$$
 for $\mu \ll 1$

 The slowest rate of convergence is associated with the component that corresponds to the smallest eigenvalue.

Then, Solving the Equation

We have applying the function \ln

$$-\frac{iT}{\tau_j} = \ln\left[1 - \mu\lambda_j\right] - \frac{(i-1)T}{\tau_j}$$

Solving, we have

$$\tau_j = -\frac{1}{\ln\left(1 - \mu\lambda_j\right)}$$

The time constant results as

$$au_j pprox rac{1}{\mu\lambda_j} ext{ for } \mu \ll 1$$

• The slowest rate of convergence is associated with the component that corresponds to the smallest eigenvalue.

However

However, this is only true for small enough values of μ

• Therefore, we need to consider something different

Therefore, we take two extreme vases

Let us consider as an example the case of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ taking a value

$$u \simeq \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}}$$

The value of $|1-\mu\lambda_j|$ corresponding to the maximum eigenvalue.

It will have an absolute value very close to one.

$$|1 - \mu \lambda_{\max}| = \left|1 - \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}} \lambda_{\max}\right| = 1$$

Therefore, we take two extreme vases

Let us consider as an example the case of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ taking a value

$$u \simeq \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}}$$

The value of $|1 - \mu \lambda_j|$ corresponding to the maximum eigenvalue

• It will have an absolute value very close to one.

$$|1 - \mu \lambda_{\max}| = \left|1 - \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}} \lambda_{\max}\right| = 1$$

Now, we have

On the other hand, when using the minimum eigenvalue in the previous formula

$$|1 - \mu \lambda_{\min}| = \left|1 - \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}} \lambda_{\min}\right| \ll 1$$

In such a case

The maximum eigenvalue exhibits slower convergence.

Now, we have

On the other hand, when using the minimum eigenvalue in the previous formula

$$|1 - \mu \lambda_{\min}| = \left|1 - \frac{2}{\lambda_{\max}} \lambda_{\min}\right| \ll 1$$

In such a case

• The maximum eigenvalue exhibits slower convergence.

The Optimal Value

We can use the following cost function

$$\mu_0 = \arg\min_{\mu} \max_{j} |1 - \mu \lambda_j|$$

s.t. $|1 - \mu \lambda_j| < 1 \ j = 1, 2, ..., d$

This has the following solution

$$\mu_0 = \frac{2}{\lambda_{max} + \lambda_{min}}$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 86 / 225

The Optimal Value

We can use the following cost function

$$\mu_0 = \arg\min_{\mu} \max_{j} |1 - \mu \lambda_j|$$

s.t. $|1 - \mu \lambda_j| < 1 \ j = 1, 2, ..., d$

This has the following solution

$$\mu_0 = \frac{2}{\lambda_{max} + \lambda_{min}}$$

Graphically

We have the following situation



<ロ><回><一><一><一><一><一><一</td>87/225

The solution

This has the following solution

$$\mu_0 = \frac{2}{\lambda_{max} + \lambda_{min}}$$

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ

What about the Mean-Square Error?

- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Focusing on the mean-square error.

Adding and Subtracting $oldsymbol{w}^{*T}\Sigma_xoldsymbol{w}^*$ and taking the definition

$$oldsymbol{w}^{*} = rg\min_{oldsymbol{w}} J\left(oldsymbol{w}
ight)$$
 and $\Sigma_{x}oldsymbol{w}^{*} = oldsymbol{p}$

herefore, we have

 $J(\boldsymbol{w}) = J(\boldsymbol{w}^*) + (\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{w}^*)^T \Sigma_x (\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{w}^*)$

・ロ ・ ・ 一 ト ・ 注 ト ・ 注 ・ う へ C
90 / 225

Focusing on the mean-square error.

Adding and Subtracting $\boldsymbol{w}^{*T}\Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w}^*$ and taking the definition

$$oldsymbol{w}^{*} = rg\min_{oldsymbol{w}} J\left(oldsymbol{w}
ight)$$
 and $\Sigma_{x}oldsymbol{w}^{*} = oldsymbol{p}$

Therefore, we have

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}) = J(\boldsymbol{w}^*) + (\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{w}^*)^T \Sigma_x (\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{w}^*)$$

Where we have that at the optimal

It is possible to see that

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}^*) = \sigma_y^2 - \boldsymbol{p}^T \Sigma_x^{-1} \boldsymbol{p} = \sigma_y^2 - \boldsymbol{w}^{*T} \Sigma_x^{-1} \boldsymbol{w}^* = \sigma_y^2 - \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{w}^*$$

• The minimum at the optimal solution!!!

Taking the orthonormality of the eigenvectors

Taking in account that Σ_x is a diagonal matrix

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}) = J(\boldsymbol{w}^*) + \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j |v_{ji}|^2$$

Therefore, we have

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}) = J(\boldsymbol{w}^*) + \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j \left(1 - \mu \lambda_j\right)^{2i} |v_{j0}|^2$$

Convergence

This converges to the minimum value $J\left(oldsymbol{w}^{*} ight)$ asymptotically

• This convergence is monotonic, because $\lambda_j (1 - \mu \lambda_j)^2$ is positive.

The rates of convergence are finally

$$\tau_j = \frac{-1}{2\ln\left(1-\mu\lambda_j\right)} \approx \frac{1}{2\mu\lambda_j}$$

Convergence

This converges to the minimum value $J\left(oldsymbol{w}^{*} ight)$ asymptotically

• This convergence is monotonic, because $\lambda_j (1 - \mu \lambda_j)^2$ is positive.

The rates of convergence are finally

$$\tau_j = \frac{-1}{2\ln\left(1 - \mu\lambda_j\right)} \approx \frac{1}{2\mu\lambda_j}$$

We have a problem

The previous analysis cannot be carried out

- For the case of an iteration-dependent step-size.
 - But we have a card in the sleeve

It is possible to show in such cases

The Gradient Descent Algorithm convergences if

$$\mu_i o 0, ext{ as } i o \infty$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i = \infty$

A classic, which comply with both conditions

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i^2 < \infty$$
 and $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i = \infty$

For example

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{i}$$

・ロ・・雪・・雨・・雨・ うんの

96 / 225

It is possible to show in such cases

The Gradient Descent Algorithm convergences if

$$\mu_i o 0, ext{ as } i o \infty$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i = \infty$

A classic, which comply with both conditions

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i^2 < \infty \ \text{and} \ \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i = \infty$$

For example

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{i}$$

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ <

It is possible to show in such cases

The Gradient Descent Algorithm convergences if

$$\mu_i o 0, ext{ as } i o \infty$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i = \infty$

A classic, which comply with both conditions

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i^2 < \infty \, \, {
m and} \, \, \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i = \infty$$

For example

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{i}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

96 / 225

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?

Stochastic Approximation

- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions
Solving for the normal equations as well as using the gradient descent

There is a small problem

• You are required to have access to the analytical model.

Additionally

- You need to have access to the second order statistics of the involved variables
 - The Covariance Matrix Σ_a

 $\Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w}^* = \boldsymbol{p}$

Solving for the normal equations as well as using the gradient descent

There is a small problem

• You are required to have access to the analytical model.

Additionally

- You need to have access to the second order statistics of the involved variables
 - The Covariance Matrix Σ_x

$$\Sigma_x \boldsymbol{w}^* = \boldsymbol{p}$$

Furthermore

We have a problem

• This is not known and it has to be approximated using a set of measurements.

But, we can solve the problem

By using stochastic methods resembling Monte Carlo ideas!!!

Furthermore

We have a problem

• This is not known and it has to be approximated using a set of measurements.

But, we can solve the problem

• By using stochastic methods resembling Monte Carlo ideas!!!

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation

Robbins-Monro Theorem

- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

We have that the Robbins-Monro Theorem[8]

The origins of such techniques are traced back to 1951

- When Robbins and Monro introduced the method of stochastic approximation
 - DARPA project!!!

Setup, given a function $\mathcal{M}\left(oldsymbol{w} ight)$ and a constant lpha such that the equation

 $M\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)=\alpha$

ullet It has a unique root $oldsymbol{w}=oldsymbol{w}^*$

We have that the Robbins-Monro Theorem[8]

The origins of such techniques are traced back to 1951

- When Robbins and Monro introduced the method of stochastic approximation
 - DARPA project!!!

Setup, given a function $M\left(\pmb{w}\right)$ and a constant α such that the equation

$$M\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) = \alpha$$

101 / 225

• It has a unique root $oldsymbol{w} = oldsymbol{w}^*$

Goal

We want to compute the root, w, of such equation

$$M\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{*}\right)=\alpha$$

Then, we want to generate values $w_1, w_2, ..., w_{n-1}$ thus, we generate w_n from

•
$$M(w_1), M(w_2), ..., M(w_{n-1})$$

 $igodoldsymbol{0}$ and the possible derivatives $M'\left(oldsymbol{w}_{1}
ight),M'\left(oldsymbol{w}_{2}
ight),...,M'\left(oldsymbol{w}_{n-1}
ight)$

Thus, we would love that

$$\lim_{n
ightarrow\infty}oldsymbol{w}_n=oldsymbol{w}^*$$

< □ ▶ < 圕 ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ 102/225

Goal

We want to compute the root, $oldsymbol{w}$, of such equation

$$M\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{*}\right) = \alpha$$

Then, we want to generate values $w_1, w_2, ..., w_{n-1}$ thus, we generate w_n from

1
$$M(w_1), M(w_2), ..., M(w_{n-1})$$

2) and the possible derivatives $M'\left(m{w}_{1}
ight), M'\left(m{w}_{2}
ight), ..., M'\left(m{w}_{n-1}
ight)$

hus, we would love that

$$\lim_{n
ightarrow\infty}oldsymbol{w}_n=oldsymbol{w}^*$$

Goal

We want to compute the root, $oldsymbol{w}$, of such equation

$$M\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{*}\right) = \alpha$$

Then, we want to generate values $w_1, w_2, ..., w_{n-1}$ thus, we generate w_n from

1
$$M(\boldsymbol{w}_1), M(\boldsymbol{w}_2), ..., M(\boldsymbol{w}_{n-1})$$

2) and the possible derivatives $M'\left(m{w}_{1}
ight), M'\left(m{w}_{2}
ight), ..., M'\left(m{w}_{n-1}
ight)$

Thus, we would love that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \boldsymbol{w}_n = \boldsymbol{w}^*$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 102/225 Instead, we suppose that for each \boldsymbol{w} corresponds a Random Variable $Y = Y(\boldsymbol{w})$

This Random Variable has a distribution function

 $Pr[Y(\boldsymbol{w}) \leq y] = H(y|\boldsymbol{w})$





Instead, we suppose that for each \boldsymbol{w} corresponds a Random Variable $Y = Y\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)$

This Random Variable has a distribution function

$$Pr\left[Y\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) \leq y
ight] = H\left(y|\boldsymbol{w}\right)$$

Such that

$$M\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y dH\left(y|\boldsymbol{w}\right)$$

We Postulate

First a bound to the $M(\boldsymbol{w})$

$$|M(\boldsymbol{w})| \leq C < \infty, \ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (y - M(\boldsymbol{w}))^2 dH(y|\boldsymbol{w}) \leq \sigma^2 < \infty$$

IMPORTANT

Neither the exact nature of H(y|w) nor that of M(w) is known

• But an important assumption is that

$$M\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) - \alpha = 0$$

It has only one root

Here is we use the lpha value to generate the root by assuming

• $M(\boldsymbol{w}) - \alpha \leq 0$ for $\boldsymbol{w} \leq \boldsymbol{w}^*$ and $M(\boldsymbol{w}) - \alpha \geq 0$ for $\boldsymbol{w} > \boldsymbol{w}^*$.

IMPORTANT

Neither the exact nature of H(y|w) nor that of M(w) is known

• But an important assumption is that

$$M\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) - \alpha = 0$$

It has only one root

Here is we use the α value to generate the root by assuming

• $M(\boldsymbol{w}) - \alpha \leq 0$ for $\boldsymbol{w} \leq \boldsymbol{w}^*$ and $M(\boldsymbol{w}) - \alpha \geq 0$ for $\boldsymbol{w} > \boldsymbol{w}^*$.

Now, For a positive $\boldsymbol{\delta}$

$M\left(oldsymbol{w} ight)$ is strictly increasing if

$$\|\boldsymbol{w}^* - \boldsymbol{w}\| < \delta$$

And Finally

$$\inf_{\left\| \boldsymbol{w}^{*}-\boldsymbol{w}\right\| \geq \delta}\left| M\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) -\alpha\right| >0$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト 三 つ Q (C 106/225

Now, For a positive $\boldsymbol{\delta}$

$M\left(oldsymbol{w} ight)$ is strictly increasing if

$$\|oldsymbol{w}^* - oldsymbol{w}\| < \delta$$

And Finally

$$\inf_{\|\boldsymbol{w}^{*}-\boldsymbol{w}\|\geq\delta}\left|M\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)-\alpha\right|>0$$

Now choose a sequence $\{\mu_i\}$

Such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i^2 = A < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i {=} \infty$$

Now, we define a non-stationary Markov Chain $\{w_n\}$

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w}_n = \mu_n \left(\alpha - y_n \right)$$

Where y_n is a random variable such that

 $Pr\left[y_n \leq y | oldsymbol{w}_n
ight] = H\left(y | oldsymbol{w}_n
ight)$

Now choose a sequence $\{\mu_i\}$

Such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i^2 = A < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i {=} \infty$$

Now, we define a non-stationary Markov Chain $\{\boldsymbol{w}_n\}$

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w}_n = \mu_n \left(\alpha - y_n \right)$$

Where y_n is a random variable such that

 $Pr\left[y_{n}\leq y|\boldsymbol{w}_{n}
ight]=H\left(y|\boldsymbol{w}_{n}
ight)$

<ロト < 回 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 三 の Q (C) 107 / 225

Now choose a sequence $\{\mu_i\}$

Such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i^2 = A < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i = \infty$$

Now, we define a non-stationary Markov Chain $\{\boldsymbol{w}_n\}$

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w}_n = \mu_n \left(\alpha - y_n \right)$$

Where y_n is a random variable such that

$$Pr[y_n \le y | \boldsymbol{w}_n] = H(y | \boldsymbol{w}_n)$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 三 の Q (C) 107 / 225

Using the expected value!!!

Here, we define b_n

$$b_n = E \left[\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}^* \right]^2$$

We want conditions where this variance goes to zero.

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = 0$

• No matter what is the initial value w_0 .

<ロト < 団ト < 臣ト < 臣ト 注 の Q (C 108 / 225

Using the expected value!!!

Here, we define b_n

$$b_n = E \left[\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}^* \right]^2$$

We want conditions where this variance goes to zero

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = 0$$

• No matter what is the initial value w_0 .

Based on

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w}_n = \mu_n \left(\alpha - y_n \right)$$

We have then



Based on

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w}_n = \mu_n \left(\alpha - y_n \right)$$

We have then

$$b_{n+1} = E \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w}^* \right]^2 = E \left[E \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w}^* \right]^2 | \boldsymbol{w}_n \right]$$

= E $\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[(\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w})^2 df (\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1}) \right] - 2p_n E \left[(\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w}^*) (M (\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1}) - \boldsymbol{w}) \right]$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 109/225

Based on

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w}_n = \mu_n \left(\alpha - y_n \right)$$

We have then

$$b_{n+1} = E \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w}^* \right]^2 = E \left[E \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w}^* \right]^2 | \boldsymbol{w}_n \right]$$
$$= E \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}^* - \mu_n \left(y - \alpha \right)^2 \right] dH \left(y | \boldsymbol{w}_n \right) \right]$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 109/225

Based on

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w}_n = \mu_n \left(\alpha - y_n \right)$$

We have then

$$b_{n+1} = E \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w}^* \right]^2 = E \left[E \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{w}^* \right]^2 | \boldsymbol{w}_n \right]$$
$$= E \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}^* - \mu_n \left(y - \alpha \right)^2 \right] dH \left(y | \boldsymbol{w}_n \right) \right]$$
$$= b_n + \mu_n E \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(y - \alpha \right)^2 dH \left(y | \boldsymbol{w}_n \right) \right] - 2\mu_n E \left[\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}^* \right) \left(M \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n \right) - \alpha \right) \right]$$
$$= b_n + \mu_n^2 e_n - 2\mu_n d_n$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 109/225

With Values

We have

$$d_n = E\left[\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}^*\right) \left(M\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n\right) - \alpha\right)\right]$$
$$e_n = E\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(y - \alpha\right)^2 dH\left(y|\boldsymbol{w}_n\right)\right]$$

From $M\left(w ight)\leqlpha$ for $w\leq w^{*}$ and $M\left(w ight)\geqlpha$ for $w>w^{*}$

 $d_n \ge 0$

<□ ト < □ ト < 亘 ト < 亘 ト < 亘 ト < 亘 ト 110/225

With Values

We have

$$d_n = E\left[\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n - \boldsymbol{w}^*\right) \left(M\left(\boldsymbol{w}_n\right) - \alpha\right)\right]$$
$$e_n = E\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(y - \alpha\right)^2 dH\left(y|\boldsymbol{w}_n\right)\right]$$

From $M(\boldsymbol{w}) \leq \alpha$ for $\boldsymbol{w} \leq \boldsymbol{w}^*$ and $M(\boldsymbol{w}) \geq \alpha$ for $\boldsymbol{w} > \boldsymbol{w}^*$

 $d_n \ge 0$

<ロト < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > 110/225

Additionally

Now, assuming that exist C such that

$$Pr\left[\left|Y\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)
ight| \leq C
ight] = \int_{-C}^{C} dH\left(y|\boldsymbol{w}
ight) = 1 \ \forall x$$

We can prove that

$$0 \le e_n \le \left[C + \left|\alpha\right|^2\right] < \infty$$

Now, given

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i^2 = A < \infty$$
 and $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i = \infty$

<□> <□> <□> <□> < ≧> < ≧> < ≧> < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > 111/225

Additionally

Now, assuming that exist \boldsymbol{C} such that

$$\Pr\left[\left|Y\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)\right| \leq C\right] = \int_{-C}^{C} dH\left(y|\boldsymbol{w}\right) = 1 \; \forall x$$

We can prove that

$$0 \le e_n \le \left[C + |\alpha|^2\right] < \infty$$

Now, given

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i^2 = A < \infty$$
 and $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i {=} \infty$

<ロト < 回 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 三 の < @ 111/225

Additionally

Now, assuming that exist C such that

$$\Pr\left[\left|Y\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)\right| \leq C\right] = \int_{-C}^{C} dH\left(y|\boldsymbol{w}\right) = 1 \; \forall x$$

We can prove that

$$0 \le e_n \le \left[C + |\alpha|^2\right] < \infty$$

Now, given

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i^2 = A < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i {=} \infty$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 三 の < @ 111/225

Therefore $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i^2 e_i$ converges

Then, summing over i we obtain

$$b_{n+1} = b_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2 e_i - 2\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i d_i$$



Therefore
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i^2 e_i$$
 converges

Then, summing over i we obtain

$$b_{n+1} = b_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2 e_i - 2\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i d_i$$

Since $b_{n+1} \ge \overline{0}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i d_i \le \frac{1}{2} \left[b_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i^2 e_i \right] < \infty$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 112/225

Then

Hence the positive-term series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i d_i$$
 converges

Then, $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n$ exists and...



<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 113/225

Then

Hence the positive-term series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i d_i$$
 converges

Then, $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n$ exists and...

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = b_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i^2 e_i - 2\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i d_i = b$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 113/225

Therefore

If a sequence of $\{k_i\}$ of non-negative constants such that

$$d_i \ge k_i b_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i k_i = \infty$$

We want to prove that



<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト ミ の < C 114/225
If a sequence of $\{k_i\}$ of non-negative constants such that

$$d_i \ge k_i b_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i k_i = \infty$$

We want to prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i k_i b_i < \infty$$

For this

We know that

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i d_i$$
 converges

Therefore

$k_i b_i \leq d_i \Rightarrow \mu_i k_i b_i \leq \mu_i d_i$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > 115/225

For this

We know that

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_i d_i$$
 converges

Therefore

$$k_i b_i \le d_i \Rightarrow \mu_i k_i b_i \le \mu_i d_i$$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ ? Q (~ 115/225

Then

We have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i k_i b_i \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i d_i < \infty$$

Then, we have that



< □ ▶ < 酉 ▶ < 壹 ▶ < 壹 ▶ = ● ○ Q (~ 116/225

Then

We have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i k_i b_i \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i d_i < \infty$$

Then, we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i k_i b_i < \infty, \ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i k_i = \infty$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 116/225

For any $\epsilon > 0$ there must be infinitely values i such that $b_i < \epsilon$

• Therefore given that $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = b$ then b = 0.

Robbins and Monro Theorem (Original)

If $\{\mu_n\}$ is of type $\frac{1}{n}$

• Given a family of conditional probabilities

$$\{H(y|\boldsymbol{w}) = Pr(Y(\boldsymbol{w}) \le y|\boldsymbol{w})\}$$

We have the following Expected Risk

$$M\left(oldsymbol{w}
ight)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}ydH\left(y|oldsymbol{w}
ight)$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 118/225 Robbins and Monro Theorem (Original)

If $\{\mu_n\}$ is of type $\frac{1}{n}$

• Given a family of conditional probabilities

$$\{H(y|\boldsymbol{w}) = Pr(Y(\boldsymbol{w}) \le y|\boldsymbol{w})\}$$

We have the following Expected Risk

$$M\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y dH\left(y|\boldsymbol{w}\right)$$

Now

If we additionally have that

$$Pr\left(\left|Y\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)\right| \le C\right) = \int_{-C}^{C} dH\left(y|\boldsymbol{w}\right) = 1$$
(3)

Then under the following constraints



 $egin{aligned} M\left(oldsymbol{w}^*
ight)=&lpha\ M\left(oldsymbol{w}
ight)>&lpha ext{ for }oldsymbol{w}>oldsymbol{w}^* \end{aligned}$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 120/225 Then under the following constraints



Or Else

$$\begin{split} M\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) &< \alpha \text{ for } \boldsymbol{w} < \boldsymbol{w}^{*} \\ M\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{*}\right) &= \alpha \\ M\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) &> \alpha \text{ for } \boldsymbol{w} > \boldsymbol{w}^{*} \end{split}$$

(5)

Next

Furthermore

$$M(\boldsymbol{w})$$
 is strictily increasing if $|\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{w}^*| < \delta$ (6)

And

$$\inf_{|w-w^*|\geq\delta}|M(w)-\alpha|>0$$

And Let $\{\mu_i\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n=\infty$$
 and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n^2<\infty$ (8

< □ > < ⑦ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > ≥ の Q (~ 121/225 Next

Furthermore

$$M(\boldsymbol{w})$$
 is strictily increasing if $|\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{w}^*| < \delta$ (6)

And

$$\inf_{|\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{w}^*|\geq\delta}|M(\boldsymbol{w})-\alpha|>0\tag{7}$$

And Let $\{\mu_i\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n = \infty \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^2 < \infty \tag{8}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > ○ Q (~ 121/225 Next

Furthermore

$$M\left(oldsymbol{w}
ight)$$
 is strictily increasing if $|oldsymbol{w}-oldsymbol{w}^{*}|<\delta$ (6

And

$$\inf_{|\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{w}^*|\geq\delta}|M\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)-\alpha|>0$$

And Let $\{\mu_i\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n = \infty \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^2 < \infty$$
 (8)

<ロ><回><一><一><一><一><一><一</td>121/225

(7)

Then

Let x_1 an arbitrary number, then under the recursion

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n + \mu_n \left(\alpha - y_n \right)$$

• Where $y_n \sim P(y|\boldsymbol{w}_n)$

heorem heorem

• If (3) and (8), either (4) or (5,6,7) hold, then w_n converges stochastically to w^* given that b = 0.

Then

Let x_1 an arbitrary number, then under the recursion

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_n + \mu_n \left(\alpha - y_n \right)$$

• Where $y_n \sim P(y|\boldsymbol{w}_n)$

Theorem

If (3) and (8), either (4) or (5,6,7) hold, then w_n converges stochastically to w^{*} given that b = 0.

Recap of Robbins-Monro Proposal

Given the following function

 $f\left(oldsymbol{w}
ight)=E\left[\phi\left(oldsymbol{w},\eta
ight)
ight]$, $oldsymbol{w}\in\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$

Given a series of i.i.d. observations a

The following iterative procedure (Robbins-Monro Scheme)

 $\boldsymbol{w}_{n} = \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} - \mu_{n} \phi\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{n}\right)$

Recap of Robbins-Monro Proposal

Given the following function

$$f\left(oldsymbol{w}
ight)=E\left[\phi\left(oldsymbol{w},\eta
ight)
ight]$$
 , $oldsymbol{w}\in\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$

Given a series of i.i.d. observations x_0, x_1, \cdots

• The following iterative procedure (Robbins-Monro Scheme)

$$\boldsymbol{w}_n = \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} - \mu_n \phi\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_n\right)$$

Robbins-Monro Proposal

Starting from an arbitrary initial condition, $oldsymbol{w}_0$

• It converges to a root of $M\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)=\alpha$

Under some general conditions about the step size

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i^2 < \infty$$
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i \to \infty$$

Robbins-Monro Proposal

Starting from an arbitrary initial condition, $oldsymbol{w}_0$

• It converges to a root of $M\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)=\alpha$

Under some general conditions about the step size

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i^2 < \infty$$
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i \to \infty$$

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem

Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error

Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Mean-Square Error [2]

Cost function for MSE

$$J\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) = E\left[\mathcal{L}\left(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y\right)\right]$$

• Also known as the expected risk or the expected loss.

Then, our objective is the reduction of the Expected Risk!!!

 Thus, the simple thing to do is to derive the function and make such gradient equal to zero.

Mean-Square Error [2]

Cost function for MSE

$$J(\boldsymbol{w}) = E\left[\mathcal{L}\left(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y\right)\right]$$

• Also known as the expected risk or the expected loss.

Then, our objective is the reduction of the Expected Risk!!!

• Thus, the simple thing to do is to derive the function and make such gradient equal to zero.

We can get the Gradient of the Expected Cost Function

$$\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}) = E\left[\nabla \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y)\right]$$

 ${\ensuremath{\bullet}}$ where the expectation is w.r.t. the pair $({\ensuremath{\boldsymbol{x}}},y)$

Therefore, everything depends on the form of the Loss function

$$\mathcal{L}_{1}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x} - y \right\|_{2}^{2} \text{ (Least Squared Loss)}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{2}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y) = \left[\frac{1}{1 + \exp\left\{\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}\right\}} \right]^{1-y} \left[\frac{\exp\left\{\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}\right\}}{1 + \exp\left\{\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}\right\}} \right]^{y} \text{ (Logistic Loss)}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{3}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{nk} \log\left(y_{nk}^{(l)}\right) \text{ (Cross-Entropy Loss)}$$

We can get the Gradient of the Expected Cost Function

$$\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}) = E\left[\nabla \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y)\right]$$

 ${\ensuremath{\bullet}}$ where the expectation is w.r.t. the pair $({\ensuremath{\boldsymbol{x}}},y)$

Therefore, everything depends on the form of the Loss function

$$\mathcal{L}_{1}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x} - y \right\|_{2}^{2} \text{ (Least Squared Loss)}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{2}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y) = \left[\frac{1}{1 + \exp\left\{\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}\right\}} \right]^{1-y} \left[\frac{\exp\left\{\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}\right\}}{1 + \exp\left\{\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}\right\}} \right]^{y} \text{ (Logistic Loss)}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{3}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{nk} \log\left(y_{nk}^{(l)}\right) \text{ (Cross-Entropy Loss)}$$

We can get the Gradient of the Expected Cost Function

$$\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}) = E\left[\nabla \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y)\right]$$

ullet where the expectation is w.r.t. the pair $({\pmb x},y)$

Therefore, everything depends on the form of the Loss function

$$\mathcal{L}_{1}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left\| \boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x} - y \right\|_{2}^{2} \text{ (Least Squared Loss)}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{2}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y) = \left[\frac{1}{1 + \exp\left\{\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}\right\}} \right]^{1-y} \left[\frac{\exp\left\{\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}\right\}}{1 + \exp\left\{\boldsymbol{w}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}\right\}} \right]^{y} \text{ (Logistic Loss)}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{3}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} t_{nk} \log\left(y_{nk}^{(l)}\right) \text{ (Cross-Entropy Loss)}$$

We simply take $\alpha = 0$ then

$$\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}) = E[\nabla \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y)] = 0$$

Then, we apply the Robbins-Monroe Schema to the function

$f(\boldsymbol{w}) = \nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}) = 0$

<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト 三 の Q (C 128 / 225

We simply take $\alpha=0$ then

$$\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}) = E[\nabla \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x}, y)] = 0$$

Then, we apply the Robbins-Monroe Schema to the function

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) = \nabla J\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right) = 0$$

Then

Given the sequence of observations $\{(\pmb{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1,2,\dots}$ and values $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots}$

• We have that the iterative procedure becomes:

$$\boldsymbol{w}_n = \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} - \mu_n \nabla \mathcal{L} \left(\boldsymbol{w}_n, \boldsymbol{x}_n, y_n \right)$$

The Well known Vanilla Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

Geometrically

We have the following



<ロ><目><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日<<130/225

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
- Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

However, although the theorem is important

• it is not by itself enough.

One has to know something more concerning

• The rate of convergence of such a scheme.

It has been shown that

$$\mu_n = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

However, although the theorem is important

• it is not by itself enough.

One has to know something more concerning

• The rate of convergence of such a scheme.

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

132 / 225

It has been shown that

However, although the theorem is important

• it is not by itself enough.

One has to know something more concerning

• The rate of convergence of such a scheme.

It has been shown that

$$\mu_n = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Additionally

Assuming that iterations have brought the estimate close to the optimal value

$$E\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{w}^{*}+\frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{c}$$

And

$$Cov\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{n}
ight)=rac{1}{n}V+O\left(rac{1}{n^{2}}
ight)$$

 Where c and V are constants that depend on the form of the expected risk.

Additionally

Assuming that iterations have brought the estimate close to the optimal value

$$E(\boldsymbol{w}_n) = \boldsymbol{w}^* + \frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{c}$$

And

$$Cov\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n}V + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)$$

• Where c and V are constants that depend on the form of the expected risk.
Meaning

Therefore

• These formulas indicate that the parameter vector estimate fluctuates around the optimal value.

However

- Low complexity requirements makes this algorithmic family to be the one that is selected in a number of practical applications.
 - Given the problem with Batch Gradient Descent (BGD)

Meaning

Therefore

• These formulas indicate that the parameter vector estimate fluctuates around the optimal value.

However

- Low complexity requirements makes this algorithmic family to be the one that is selected in a number of practical applications.
 - Given the problem with Batch Gradient Descent (BGD)

Meaning

Therefore

• These formulas indicate that the parameter vector estimate fluctuates around the optimal value.

However

- Low complexity requirements makes this algorithmic family to be the one that is selected in a number of practical applications.
 - Given the problem with Batch Gradient Descent (BGD)

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

Example of SGD Vs BGD

- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Example of SGD for,
$$rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}ig(oldsymbol{w}^Toldsymbol{x}-oldsymbol{y}ig)^2$$

We can see how from the Vanilla SGD improves over the Batch GD with respect to Speed of Evaluation



136 / 225

Problems

However, we need to improve such Vanilla Stochastic Gradient Descent



137 / 225

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

Example of SGD Vs BGD

Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch

- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Do you Remember?

Imagine the following signal from $\sin(\theta)$



 What if we know the noise?

Given a series of observed samples $\{\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, ..., \hat{x}_N\}$ with noise $\epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$

We could use our knowledge on the noise, for example additive:

 $\widehat{x}_i = x_i + \epsilon$

We can use our knowledge of probability to remove such noise.

 $E\left[\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}\right] = E\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{i} + \epsilon\right] = E\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right] + E\left[\epsilon\right]$

Then, because $E |\epsilon| = 0$

$$E[\boldsymbol{x}_i] = E[\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_i] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_i$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト 三 の Q (C) 140/225 What if we know the noise?

Given a series of observed samples $\{\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, ..., \hat{x}_N\}$ with noise $\epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$

We could use our knowledge on the noise, for example additive:

 $\widehat{x}_i = x_i + \epsilon$

We can use our knowledge of probability to remove such noise

$$E\left[\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}\right] = E\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\right] = E\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right] + E\left[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\right]$$

Then, because $E\left|\epsilon\right|$ =



What if we know the noise?

Given a series of observed samples $\{\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, ..., \hat{x}_N\}$ with noise $\epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$

We could use our knowledge on the noise, for example additive:

$$\widehat{x}_i = x_i + \epsilon$$

We can use our knowledge of probability to remove such noise

$$E\left[\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}\right] = E\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{i}+\epsilon\right] = E\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right] + E\left[\epsilon\right]$$

Then, because $E[\epsilon] = 0$

$$E[\boldsymbol{x}_i] = E[\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_i] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_i$$

<ロ><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日><日<<100<140/225

In our example

We have a nice result



<ロト < 回 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の Q (C) 141/225

Thus

Using a similar idea, you could use an average [9]

$$\nabla J\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1} | \boldsymbol{x}_{i:i+m}, y_{i:i+m}\right) = \dots$$
$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla J\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, y_{i}\right)$$



Thus

Using a similar idea, you could use an average [9]

$$\nabla J\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1} | \boldsymbol{x}_{i:i+m}, y_{i:i+m}\right) = \dots$$
$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla J\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, y_{i}\right)$$

This allows to reduce the variance of the original Stochastic Gradient

- It reduces the variance of the parameter updates, which can lead to more stable convergence.
 - It can make use of highly optimized matrix optimizations common to state-of-the-art deep learning libraries that make computing the gradient w.r.t. a mini-batch very efficient.

Thus

Using a similar idea, you could use an average [9]

$$\nabla J\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1} | \boldsymbol{x}_{i:i+m}, y_{i:i+m}\right) = \dots$$
$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla J\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, y_{i}\right)$$

This allows to reduce the variance of the original Stochastic Gradient

- It reduces the variance of the parameter updates, which can lead to more stable convergence.
- It can make use of highly optimized matrix optimizations common to state-of-the-art deep learning libraries that make computing the gradient w.r.t. a mini-batch very efficient.

There are other more efficient options

We can update the $\boldsymbol{w}\left(k\right)$

• By Batches per epoch...

Therefore

If or i in batch k

$\boldsymbol{w}_{k} = \boldsymbol{w}_{k-1} - \alpha \nabla J\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{y}_{i}\right)$

 There are other more efficient options

We can update the $\boldsymbol{w}\left(k\right)$

• By Batches per epoch...

Therefore

0 for i in batch k

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{k} = \boldsymbol{w}_{k-1} - \alpha \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, y_{i} \right)$$

Mini-batch gradient descent finally takes the best of both worlds

Min-Batch(X)	
Input:	
	• Initialize ${oldsymbol w}_0$, Set number of epochs, L , Set learning rate $lpha$
• for $k = 1$ to L :	
2	Randomly pick a mini batch of size m .
3	for $i = 1$ to m do:
4	Evaluate $g\left(k ight)= abla J\left(oldsymbol{w}_{k-1},oldsymbol{x}_{i},y_{i} ight)$
9	$\boldsymbol{w}_{k} = \boldsymbol{w}_{k-1} - \alpha g\left(k\right)$

Notes

Remark, for $\alpha = \frac{1}{m}$, the method is equivalent to average sample way

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{k} = \boldsymbol{w}_{k-1} - \alpha \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, y_{i} \right) - \dots$$
$$\alpha \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i+1}, y_{i+1} \right) - \dots$$
$$\alpha \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i+m}, y_{i+m} \right)$$

Notes

Remark, for $\alpha = \frac{1}{m}$, the method is equivalent to average sample way

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{k} = \boldsymbol{w}_{k-1} - \alpha \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, y_{i} \right) - \dots$$

$$\alpha \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i+1}, y_{i+1} \right) - \dots$$

$$\alpha \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i+m}, y_{i+m} \right)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{w}_{k-1} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \nabla J \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{k-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, y_{i} \right)$$

<ロト < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > 145 / 225

Notes

We have the following

- Common mini-batch sizes range between 50 and 256, but can vary for different applications.
- Mini-batch gradient descent is typically the algorithm of choice when training a neural network.

A Small Intuition

We have smoother version of the Stochastic Gradient Descent



Drawbacks

Choosing a proper learning rate can be difficult

- A learning rate that is too small leads to painfully slow convergence,
- Too large can hinder convergence and cause the loss function to fluctuate around the minimum or even to diverge.

Learning Rate Schedules

- To adjust the learning rate during training by e.g. annealing
- These schedules and thresholds, however, have to be defined in advance not on-line

Another key challenge of minimizing highly non-convex error functions

 For example, neural networks, it is avoiding getting trapped in their numerous suboptimal local minima.

Drawbacks

Choosing a proper learning rate can be difficult

- A learning rate that is too small leads to painfully slow convergence,
- Too large can hinder convergence and cause the loss function to fluctuate around the minimum or even to diverge.

Learning Rate Schedules

- To adjust the learning rate during training by e.g. annealing
- These schedules and thresholds, however, have to be defined in advance not on-line

Another key challenge of minimizing highly non-convex error functions

 For example, neural networks, it is avoiding getting trapped in their numerous suboptimal local minima.

Drawbacks

Choosing a proper learning rate can be difficult

- A learning rate that is too small leads to painfully slow convergence,
- Too large can hinder convergence and cause the loss function to fluctuate around the minimum or even to diverge.

Learning Rate Schedules

- To adjust the learning rate during training by e.g. annealing
- These schedules and thresholds, however, have to be defined in advance not on-line

Another key challenge of minimizing highly non-convex error functions

 For example, neural networks, it is avoiding getting trapped in their numerous suboptimal local minima.

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch

Adaptive Learning Step

Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Observations

Using Traditional Methods used in Gradient Descent

- Golden Ratio
- Bisection Method
- etc

Nevertheless

Experiments with the Bisection Method has produced not so great results!!!

Observations

Using Traditional Methods used in Gradient Descent

- Golden Ratio
- Bisection Method
- etc

Nevertheless

• Experiments with the Bisection Method has produced not so great results!!!



Adaptive Rate Speeds in SGD [10]

Structure of SGD with an adaptive learning rate

$$\boldsymbol{w}(t+1) = \boldsymbol{w}(t) - \eta(t) g(t)$$
$$\eta(t) = h(t)$$

Where

• $g(t) = \nabla L(w(t))$ • h(t) is a continuous function

Adaptive Rate Speeds in SGD [10]

Structure of SGD with an adaptive learning rate

$$\boldsymbol{w}(t+1) = \boldsymbol{w}(t) - \eta(t) g(t)$$
$$\eta(t) = h(t)$$

Where

- $g(t) = \nabla L(\boldsymbol{w}(t))$
- h(t) is a continuous function

First Order Methods

Gradient descent on the learning rate

• Introducing the following function:

$$f : \mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{R}$$
$$\eta \to L\left(\boldsymbol{w}\left(t\right) - \eta g\left(t\right)\right)$$

This comes a simple intuition

- At time t using $\eta(t)$, we suffer a loss of $L(\boldsymbol{w}(t) \eta g(t))$ in the next iteration:
 - So *f* represents such loss in the future if we choose $\boldsymbol{w}(t+1) = \boldsymbol{w}(t) \eta g(t)$

First Order Methods

Gradient descent on the learning rate

• Introducing the following function:

$$f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \to \mathbb{R}$$
$$\eta \to L\left(\boldsymbol{w}\left(t\right) - \eta g\left(t\right)\right)$$

This comes a simple intuition

- At time t using $\eta\left(t\right)$, we suffer a loss of $L\left(\boldsymbol{w}\left(t\right)-\eta g\left(t\right)\right)$ in the next iteration:
 - ► So *f* represents such loss in the future if we choose $\boldsymbol{w}(t+1) = \boldsymbol{w}(t) \eta g(t)$

Therefore

The first-order method is written as

$$\boldsymbol{w}(t) = \boldsymbol{w}(t) - \eta(t) g(t)$$
$$\eta(t+1) = \eta(t) - \alpha f'(\eta(t))$$

Remark

• This method introduces a new "meta" learning rate α .

Therefore

The first-order method is written as

$$\boldsymbol{w}(t) = \boldsymbol{w}(t) - \eta(t) g(t)$$
$$\eta(t+1) = \eta(t) - \alpha f'(\eta(t))$$

Remark

• This method introduces a new "meta" learning rate α .

The final $f'(\eta(t))$

We have that $\forall \eta$

$$f'(\eta) = -g(t)^{T} \cdot \nabla L(\boldsymbol{w}(t) - \eta g(t))$$

We can rewrite this as

 $f'(\eta) = -g(t)^T \cdot g(t+1)$

The final $f'(\eta(t))$

We have that $\forall \eta$

$$f'(\eta) = -g(t)^{T} \cdot \nabla L(\boldsymbol{w}(t) - \eta g(t))$$

We can rewrite this as

$$f'(\eta) = -g(t)^T \cdot g(t+1)$$
Intuition

If we continue in a similar direction

• We increase the learning rate, if we backtrack then we decrease it.

However

• The algorithm is not scale invariant anymore:

Different scales $L'\left(oldsymbol{w} ight)=\lambda L\left(oldsymbol{w} ight)$ different results

<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト 三 の Q (C 155/225

Intuition

If we continue in a similar direction

• We increase the learning rate, if we backtrack then we decrease it.

However

• The algorithm is not scale invariant anymore:

Different scales $L'(\boldsymbol{w}) = \lambda L(\boldsymbol{w})$ different results

Second Order Methods

Remark

• The previous method presents the problem of choosing another meta-learning rate for optimizing the actual learning rate.

In order to avoid such problems

We can use a second-order Newton-Raphson optimization method

$$w(t) = w(t) - \eta(t) g(t)$$
$$\eta(t+1) = \eta(t) - \frac{f'(\eta(t))}{f''(\eta(t))}$$

We get rid of the meta or hyper-parameter lpha

 However, the second derivative of f requires building the loss Hessian matrix

Second Order Methods

Remark

• The previous method presents the problem of choosing another meta-learning rate for optimizing the actual learning rate.

In order to avoid such problems

• We can use a second-order Newton-Raphson optimization method

$$\boldsymbol{w}(t) = \boldsymbol{w}(t) - \eta(t) g(t)$$
$$\eta(t+1) = \eta(t) - \frac{f'(\eta(t))}{f''(\eta(t))}$$

We get rid of the meta or hyper-parameter lpha

However, the second derivative of f requires building the loss Hessian matrix

Second Order Methods

Remark

• The previous method presents the problem of choosing another meta-learning rate for optimizing the actual learning rate.

In order to avoid such problems

• We can use a second-order Newton-Raphson optimization method

$$\boldsymbol{w}(t) = \boldsymbol{w}(t) - \eta(t) g(t)$$
$$\eta(t+1) = \eta(t) - \frac{f'(\eta(t))}{f''(\eta(t))}$$

We get rid of the meta or hyper-parameter α

However, the second derivative of f requires building the loss Hessian matrix

Hessian Matrix

We have

$$f''(\eta) = -g(t)^{T} H_{L}(\boldsymbol{w}(t) - \eta g(t))$$

Here, we can use an approximation

- "Deep learning via hessian-free optimization" by James Martens
 - They are actually know as finite Calculus ("Calculus of Finite Differences" by Charles Jordan)

$$f'(\eta + \epsilon) = \frac{f(\eta + 2\epsilon) - f(\eta)}{2\epsilon}$$
(Forward Difference)
$$f'(\eta - \epsilon) = \frac{f(\eta) - f(\eta - 2\epsilon)}{2\epsilon}$$
(Backward Difference)

Hessian Matrix

We have

$$f''(\eta) = -g(t)^{T} H_{L}(\boldsymbol{w}(t) - \eta g(t))$$

Here, we can use an approximation

- "Deep learning via hessian-free optimization" by James Martens
 - They are actually know as finite Calculus ("Calculus of Finite Differences" by Charles Jordan)

$$\begin{aligned} f'\left(\eta+\epsilon\right) &= \frac{f\left(\eta+2\epsilon\right)-f\left(\eta\right)}{2\epsilon} \text{ (Forward Difference)} \\ f'\left(\eta-\epsilon\right) &= \frac{f\left(\eta\right)-f\left(\eta-2\epsilon\right)}{2\epsilon} \text{ (Backward Difference)} \end{aligned}$$

Then

We have that

$$f''\left(\eta\right) = \frac{f\left(\eta + 2\epsilon\right) + f\left(\eta - 2\epsilon\right) - 2f\left(\eta\right)}{4\epsilon^2}$$

Now, using the previous differences, we have

$$f'(\eta) = \frac{f(\eta + \epsilon) - f(\eta - \epsilon)}{2\epsilon}$$

< □ ▶ < ⑦ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ ▶ 158/225

Then

We have that

$$f''\left(\eta\right) = \frac{f\left(\eta + 2\epsilon\right) + f\left(\eta - 2\epsilon\right) - 2f\left(\eta\right)}{4\epsilon^2}$$

Now, using the previous differences, we have

$$f'(\eta) = \frac{f(\eta + \epsilon) - f(\eta - \epsilon)}{2\epsilon}$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 158/225

Finally

We have an approximation to the η hyper-parameter

$$\eta (t+1) = \eta (t) - 2\epsilon \frac{f(\eta + \epsilon) - f(\eta - \epsilon)}{f(\eta + 2\epsilon) + f(\eta - 2\epsilon) - 2f(\eta)}$$

Meaning

 When slightly increasing, the learning rate corresponds to a lower loss than slightly reducing it, then the numerator is negative.

in consequence

 The learning rate is raised at this update, as pushing in the ascending direction for the learning rate seems to help reducing the loss.

Finally

We have an approximation to the η hyper-parameter

$$\eta\left(t+1\right) = \eta\left(t\right) - 2\epsilon \frac{f\left(\eta+\epsilon\right) - f\left(\eta-\epsilon\right)}{f\left(\eta+2\epsilon\right) + f\left(\eta-2\epsilon\right) - 2f\left(\eta-\epsilon\right)}$$

Meaning

• When slightly increasing, the learning rate corresponds to a lower loss than slightly reducing it, then the numerator is negative.

in consequence

 The learning rate is raised at this update, as pushing in the ascending direction for the learning rate seems to help reducing the loss.

Finally

We have an approximation to the η hyper-parameter

$$\eta\left(t+1\right) = \eta\left(t\right) - 2\epsilon \frac{f\left(\eta+\epsilon\right) - f\left(\eta-\epsilon\right)}{f\left(\eta+2\epsilon\right) + f\left(\eta-2\epsilon\right) - 2f\left(\eta-\epsilon\right)}$$

Meaning

• When slightly increasing, the learning rate corresponds to a lower loss than slightly reducing it, then the numerator is negative.

In consequence

• The learning rate is raised at this update, as pushing in the ascending direction for the learning rate seems to help reducing the loss.

Some Considerations

As you have notice in the second order method, we can have an underflow

1 If
$$f(\eta + 2\epsilon) + f(\eta - 2\epsilon) - 2f(\eta) \approx 0$$

A typical value for δ is 10^{-}

Furthermore, the order of operations needs to be maintained...

Some Considerations

As you have notice in the second order method, we can have an underflow

1 If
$$f(\eta + 2\epsilon) + f(\eta - 2\epsilon) - 2f(\eta) \approx 0$$

A typical value for δ is 10^{-6}

• Furthermore, the order of operations needs to be maintained...

At k Iteration,

we have a loss value $L^{(k)}$ and a learning rate value $\eta^{(k)}$

• At the k + 1 step, we have the five loss values $f(\eta^{(k)} + \epsilon)$, $f(\eta^{(k)} - \epsilon)$, $f(\eta^{(k)} + 2\epsilon)$, $f(\eta^{(k)} - 2\epsilon)$ and $f(\eta^{(k)})$ • Actually five passes over the function f

Then, we calculate $L^{(k+1)}$

$$L^{(k+1)} \leftarrow f\left(\eta^{(k)}\right)$$

Find the $\eta \left(k+1 ight)$ update

$$\eta(t+1) = \eta(t) - 2\epsilon \frac{f(\eta+\epsilon) - f(\eta-\epsilon)}{f(\eta+2\epsilon) + f(\eta-2\epsilon) - 2f(\eta-\epsilon)}$$

At k Iteration,

we have a loss value $L^{(k)}$ and a learning rate value $\eta^{(k)}$

• At the k + 1 step, we have the five loss values $f(\eta^{(k)} + \epsilon)$, $f(\eta^{(k)} - \epsilon)$, $f(\eta^{(k)} + 2\epsilon)$, $f(\eta^{(k)} - 2\epsilon)$ and $f(\eta^{(k)})$

• Actually five passes over the function f

Then, we calculate $L^{(k+1)}$ by

$$L^{(k+1)} \leftarrow f\left(\eta^{(k)}\right)$$

Then the $\eta \left(k+1 ight)$ update

 $\eta\left(t+1\right) = \eta\left(t\right) - 2\epsilon \frac{f\left(\eta+\epsilon\right) - f\left(\eta-\epsilon\right)}{f\left(\eta+2\epsilon\right) + f\left(\eta-2\epsilon\right) - 2f\left(\eta-\epsilon\right)}$

At k Iteration,

we have a loss value $L^{(k)}$ and a learning rate value $\eta^{(k)}$

• At the k + 1 step, we have the five loss values $f(\eta^{(k)} + \epsilon)$, $f(\eta^{(k)} - \epsilon)$, $f(\eta^{(k)} + 2\epsilon)$, $f(\eta^{(k)} - 2\epsilon)$ and $f(\eta^{(k)})$

• Actually five passes over the function f

Then, we calculate $L^{(k+1)}$ by

$$L^{(k+1)} \leftarrow f\left(\eta^{(k)}\right)$$

Then the $\eta (k+1)$ update

$$\eta (t+1) = \eta (t) - 2\epsilon \frac{f (\eta + \epsilon) - f (\eta - \epsilon)}{f (\eta + 2\epsilon) + f (\eta - 2\epsilon) - 2f (\eta - \epsilon)}$$

Final Remark

Something Notable

• First-order and second-order updates of the learning rate do not guarantee positive learning rates

A simple way to avoid this problem is to use

$\eta\left(k+1\right) = \max\left\{\eta\left(t+1\right),\delta\right\}$

• With an appropriate smoothing δ value.

Final Remark

Something Notable

• First-order and second-order updates of the learning rate do not guarantee positive learning rates

A simple way to avoid this problem is to use

$$\eta \left(k+1\right) =\max \left\{ \eta \left(t+1\right) ,\delta \right\}$$

• With an appropriate smoothing δ value.

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Introduction

We have been able to accelerate the speed with SGD

- However, Is this enough?
 - After all, we are dealing with large data sets that are costly to train on them.

I heretore

We introduce the concept of regret which is used in on-line learning...
 After all SGD is a way of doing on-line learning!!!

What is regret

 It measures how "sorry" the learning algorithm is, in retrospect, of not having followed the predictions of some hypothesis h ∈ H.

Introduction

We have been able to accelerate the speed with SGD

- However, Is this enough?
 - After all, we are dealing with large data sets that are costly to train on them.

Therefore

• We introduce the concept of regret which is used in on-line learning...

After all SGD is a way of doing on-line learning!!!

What is regret

It measures how "sorry" the learning algorithm is, in retrospect, of not having followed the predictions of some hypothesis h ∈ H.

Introduction

We have been able to accelerate the speed with SGD

- However, Is this enough?
 - After all, we are dealing with large data sets that are costly to train on them.

Therefore

• We introduce the concept of regret which is used in on-line learning...

After all SGD is a way of doing on-line learning!!!

What is regret?

 It measures how "sorry" the learning algorithm is, in retrospect, of not having followed the predictions of some hypothesis h ∈ H.

A Better Intuition

Imagine you are playing a game where data is given to you

 X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_t

Your task

• To guess X_{t+1} and an estimator of X, X

Clearly, you have looses

• They could be exemplified by the square distance between $\left(\hat{X} - X_{t+1}\right)^2$

A Better Intuition

Imagine you are playing a game where data is given to you

 X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_t

Your task

• To guess X_{t+1} and an estimator of X, \hat{X}

Clearly, you have looses

• They could be exemplified by the square distance between $\left(\hat{X} - X_{t+1}\right)^2$

A Better Intuition

Imagine you are playing a game where data is given to you

 X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_t

Your task

• To guess X_{t+1} and an estimator of X, \hat{X}

Clearly, you have looses

 They could be exemplified by the square distance between $\left(\hat{X}-X_{t+1}\right)^2$

Strategies to minimize the regret

In the case of least squared error

$$\hat{X} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=i}^{T} X_t$$

Something Notable

• This is actually a good estimate given, if we assume $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ • The maximum likelihood estimator of $\hat{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} X_t$

Furthermore

$$E\left[\hat{X}\right] = \mu$$

<ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < l > < 0 Q @ 166/225

Strategies to minimize the regret

In the case of least squared error

$$\hat{X} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=i}^{T} X_t$$

Something Notable

- This is actually a good estimate given, if we assume $X \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma^2
 ight)$
- The maximum likelihood estimator of $\hat{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} X_t$

Furthermore $E\left[\hat{X}
ight]=\mu$

Strategies to minimize the regret

In the case of least squared error

$$\hat{X} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=i}^{T} X_t$$

Something Notable

- This is actually a good estimate given, if we assume $X \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma^2
 ight)$
- The maximum likelihood estimator of $\hat{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} X_t$

Furthermore

$$E\left[\hat{X}\right] = \mu$$

Nevertheless

A common question in statistics

 How well can I do using the information from my samples compared to how well I could have done had I known the distribution in advance?

A simple function

 $Cost_T(Alg) - Cost(OPT)$

Nevertheless

A common question in statistics

 How well can I do using the information from my samples compared to how well I could have done had I known the distribution in advance?

A simple function

$$Cost_T(Alg) - Cost(OPT)$$

Regret

Definition

• The sum of all the previous difference between the on-line prediction $f_i(w_i)$ and the best optimal parameter $f_i(w^*)$

$$R(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} [f_i(\boldsymbol{w}_i) - f_i(\boldsymbol{w}^*)] = f(T)$$

• Where $\boldsymbol{w}^{*} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{w}\in\mathcal{X}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)$

What do we want?

We want f(T) = o(T) (Little o) i.e.

$$\frac{f\left(T\right)}{T} \to 0$$

Example

The Expert Advice Model

- On a sequence of rounds t=1,...,T a player choose an action $i_t \in \{1,...,n\}$
- The adversary chooses cost or loses for each action $l_{t}\left(1
 ight),...,l_{t}\left(n
 ight)\in\left\{0,1
 ight\}$

It looks like a Min-Max Play from Artificial Intelligence

• Theorem (Von Neumann Minimax Theorem)

 $\min_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \Delta^n \boldsymbol{x} \in \Delta^m} \boldsymbol{y}^t A \boldsymbol{x} = V = \max_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \Delta^m \boldsymbol{y} \in \Delta^n} \min_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \Delta^n} \boldsymbol{y}^t A \boldsymbol{x}$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 170/225

Example

The Expert Advice Model

- On a sequence of rounds t=1,...,T a player choose an action $i_t \in \{1,...,n\}$
- The adversary chooses cost or loses for each action $l_{t}\left(1
 ight),...,l_{t}\left(n
 ight)\in\left\{0,1
 ight\}$

It looks like a Min-Max Play from Artificial Intelligence

• Theorem (Von Neumann Minimax Theorem)

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \Delta^n \boldsymbol{x} \in \Delta^m} \boldsymbol{y}^t A \boldsymbol{x} = V = \max_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \Delta^m \boldsymbol{y} \in \Delta^n} \min_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \Delta^n} \boldsymbol{y}^t A \boldsymbol{x}$$

However, we want something more flexible

The player instead of picking highest cost

 \bullet The player pick a distribution over the actions $\{1,...,n\}$

Then, the player pays $E\left[l_{t}\left(I ight) ight]$ observes .

• Updates $p_{t+1} \in \Delta_n$, where Δ_n is the probability simplex over the n actions.

The probability simplex is the (n-1)-dimensional simplex determined by the unit vectors $c_1, ..., c_n \in R$

• It is the set of vectors that satisfy $x \succcurlyeq 0$ with $\mathbf{1}^T x = 1$
However, we want something more flexible

The player instead of picking highest cost

 \bullet The player pick a distribution over the actions $\{1,...,n\}$

Then, the player pays $E\left[l_t\left(I\right)\right]$ observes l_t

• Updates $p_{t+1} \in \Delta_n$, where Δ_n is the probability simplex over the n actions.

The probability simplex is the (n-1)-dimensional simplex determined by the unit vectors $c_1, ..., c_n \in R$

ullet It is the set of vectors that satisfy $m{x} \succcurlyeq 0$ with $m{1}^T m{x} = 1$

However, we want something more flexible

The player instead of picking highest cost

 \bullet The player pick a distribution over the actions $\{1,...,n\}$

Then, the player pays $E\left[l_t\left(I\right)\right]$ observes l_t

• Updates $p_{t+1} \in \Delta_n$, where Δ_n is the probability simplex over the n actions.

The probability simplex is the (n-1)-dimensional simplex determined by the unit vectors $e_1,...,e_n\in R$

• It is the set of vectors that satisfy $\boldsymbol{x} \succcurlyeq 0$ with $\mathbf{1}^T \boldsymbol{x} = 1$

This is typically called the "Expert" or "Hedge" setting with regret

$$Regret = \sum_{t=1}^{T} p_t l_t - \min_{i \in \{1,...,N\}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} l_t(i)$$

We now introduce the Weighted Majority Algorithm

 We define L_t (i) = ∑^t_{s=1} l_s (i) to be the vector of cumulative losses of the experts at time t.

The algorithm chooses an expert at time t by distribution p_t where

- $w_t(i) = \exp \{-\eta L_t(i)\}$ Weight assigned to expert i at time t and $\eta > 0$ is a parameter of the algorithm.
- $p_t(i) = \frac{w_t(i)}{\sum_{j=1}^n w_t(i)}$ Probability of choosing expert *i* at time *t*.

This is typically called the "Expert" or "Hedge" setting with regret

$$Regret = \sum_{t=1}^{T} p_t l_t - \min_{i \in \{1,...,N\}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} l_t(i)$$

We now introduce the Weighted Majority Algorithm

• We define $L_t(i) = \sum_{s=1}^t l_s(i)$ to be the vector of cumulative losses of the experts at time t.

The algorithm chooses an expert at time t by distribution p_t where

- $w_t(i) = \exp \{-\eta L_t(i)\}$ Weight assigned to expert i at time t and $\eta > 0$ is a parameter of the algorithm.
- $p_t(i) = \frac{w_t(i)}{\sum_{j=1}^n w_t(i)}$ Probability of choosing expert *i* at time *t*.

This is typically called the "Expert" or "Hedge" setting with regret

$$Regret = \sum_{t=1}^{T} p_{t}l_{t} - \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} l_{t}(i)$$

We now introduce the Weighted Majority Algorithm

• We define $L_t(i) = \sum_{s=1}^t l_s(i)$ to be the vector of cumulative losses of the experts at time t.

The algorithm chooses an expert at time t by distribution p_t where

- $w_t(i) = \exp\{-\eta L_t(i)\}$ Weight assigned to expert i at time t and $\eta > 0$ is a parameter of the algorithm.
- $p_t(i) = \frac{w_t(i)}{\sum_{j=1}^n w_t(i)}$ Probability of choosing expert i at time t.

	Input: Penalty $\beta \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$
1	for $i = 1$ to n
2	$w_1\left(i ight) = 1$
3	$p_1\left(i\right) = \frac{1}{N}$

	Input: Penalty $eta \in \left[rac{1}{2},1 ight)$
1	for $i = 1$ to n
2	$w_1\left(i\right) = 1$
3	$p_1\left(i\right) = rac{1}{N}$
4	for $t = 1$ to T
5	for $i=1$ to n
6	$\mathbf{if}\; l_t(i)=1\mathbf{:}$
0	$w_{t+1}\left(i\right) = \beta w_t\left(i\right)$

	Input: Penalty $\beta \in \left[rac{1}{2},1 ight)$
1	for $i = 1$ to n
2	$w_1\left(i\right) = 1$
3	$p_1\left(i\right) = \frac{1}{N}$
4	for $t = 1$ to T
6	for $i=1$ to n
6	if $l_t(i) = 1$:
0	$w_{t+1}\left(i\right) = \beta w_t\left(i\right)$
8	else $w_{t+1}(i) = w_t(i)$

Algorithm

	Input: Penalty $\beta \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$
1	for $i = 1$ to n
2	$w_1\left(i\right) = 1$
3	$p_1\left(i\right) = \frac{1}{N}$
4	for $t = 1$ to T
5	for $i=1$ to n
6	if $l_t(i) = 1$:
0	$w_{t+1}\left(i\right) = \beta w_t\left(i\right)$
8	else $w_{t+1}(i) = w_t(i)$
9	$W_{t+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{t+1}(i)$
10	for $i=1$ to n
0	$p_{t+1}(i) = \frac{w_{t+1}(i)}{W_{t+1}}$

return w_{T+}

	Input: Penalty $\beta \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$
1	for $i = 1$ to n
2	$w_1\left(i\right) = 1$
3	$p_1\left(i\right) = \frac{1}{N}$
4	for $t = 1$ to T
6	for $i=1$ to n
6	if $l_t(i) = 1$:
0	$w_{t+1}\left(i\right) = \beta w_t\left(i\right)$
8	else $w_{t+1}(i) = w_t(i)$
9	$W_{t+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{t+1}(i)$
10	for $i=1$ to n
0	$p_{t+1}(i) = \frac{w_{t+1}(i)}{W_{t+1}}$
12	return w_{T+1}

Theorem

 $\bullet\,$ Then, for any $T\geq 1$, the expected cumulative loss of Randomized Weighted-Majority can be bounded as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_T \le \frac{\log n}{1-\beta} + (2-\beta) \mathcal{L}_T^{\min}$$

• with $\mathcal{L}_T = \sum_{t=1}^T p_t l_t$, $\mathcal{L}_T^{\min} = \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \sum_{t=1}^T l_t (i)$ • For $\beta = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{T}$ when $1 - \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{T} \ge \frac{1}{2}$,

 $\mathcal{L}_T \leq \mathcal{L}_T^{\min} + 2\sqrt{T\log N}$

<ロト < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > 174/225

Theorem

 $\bullet\,$ Then, for any $T\geq 1$, the expected cumulative loss of Randomized Weighted-Majority can be bounded as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_T \le \frac{\log n}{1-\beta} + (2-\beta) \mathcal{L}_T^{\min}$$

• with
$$\mathcal{L}_T = \sum_{t=1}^T p_t l_t$$
, $\mathcal{L}_T^{\min} = \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \sum_{t=1}^T l_t (i)$

<ロト < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > 174/225

Theorem

 $\bullet\,$ Then, for any $T\geq 1$, the expected cumulative loss of Randomized Weighted-Majority can be bounded as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_T \le \frac{\log n}{1-\beta} + (2-\beta) \mathcal{L}_T^{\min}$$

• with
$$\mathcal{L}_T = \sum_{t=1}^T p_t l_t$$
, $\mathcal{L}_T^{\min} = \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, N\}} \sum_{t=1}^T l_t(i)$
• For $\beta = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{T}$ when $1 - \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{T} \ge \frac{1}{2}$,
 $\mathcal{L}_T \le \mathcal{L}_T^{\min} + 2\sqrt{T \log N}$

Now, the proof

We define the following function

$$W_t = \sum_{i=1}^n w_t\left(i\right)$$

Where

We have that

$$W_{t+1} = \sum_{i:l_t(i)=0} w_t(i) + \beta \sum_{i:l_t(i)=1} w_t(i)$$

Then

$W_{t+1} = \sum_{i:l_t(i)=0} w_t(i) + \sum_{i:l_t(i)=1} w_t(i) - \sum_{i:l_t(i)=1} w_t(i) + \beta \sum_{i:l_t(i)=1} w_t(i) - \beta \sum_{i:l_t(i$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 176/225

Where

We have that

$$W_{t+1} = \sum_{i:l_t(i)=0} w_t(i) + \beta \sum_{i:l_t(i)=1} w_t(i)$$

Then

$$W_{t+1} = \sum_{i:l_t(i)=0} w_t(i) + \sum_{i:l_t(i)=1} w_t(i) - \sum_{i:l_t(i)=1} w_t(i) + \beta \sum_{i:l_t(i)=1} w_t(i)$$

< □ > < ⑦ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > ≧ > ○ Q (~ 176/225

We have

$$W_{t+1} = W_t + (\beta - 1) \sum_{i:l_t(i)=1} w_t(i) \times \frac{W_t}{W_t}$$

Then by using $p_t(i) = rac{w_t(i)}{V_t}$ and assuming that

$$W_{t+1} = W_t + (\beta - 1) W_t \sum_{i: l_t(i) = 1} p_t(i)$$

Finally

$W_{t+1} = W_t + (\beta - 1) W_t L_t = W_t (1 - (1 - \beta) L_t)$

< □ ト < □ ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 177/225

We have

$$W_{t+1} = W_t + (\beta - 1) \sum_{i:l_t(i)=1} w_t(i) \times \frac{W_t}{W_t}$$

Then by using $p_{t}\left(i\right) = \frac{w_{t}\left(i\right)}{W_{t}}$ and assuming that

$$W_{t+1} = W_t + (\beta - 1) W_t \sum_{i:l_t(i)=1} p_t(i)$$

Finally

$W_{t+1} = W_t + (\beta - 1) W_t L_t = W_t (1 - (1 - \beta) L_t)$

<ロト < 回 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 三 の < @ 177 / 225

We have

$$W_{t+1} = W_t + (\beta - 1) \sum_{i:l_t(i)=1} w_t(i) \times \frac{W_t}{W_t}$$

Then by using $p_{t}\left(i\right)=\frac{w_{t}\left(i\right)}{W_{t}}$ and assuming that

$$W_{t+1} = W_t + (\beta - 1) W_t \sum_{i:l_t(i)=1} p_t(i)$$

Finally

$$W_{t+1} = W_t + (\beta - 1) W_t L_t = W_t (1 - (1 - \beta) L_t)$$

Then, we have an upper bound

We have by recursion

$$W_{T+1} = n \prod_{t=1}^{T} (1 - (1 - \beta) L_t)$$

• With $W_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n 1$ which correspond to the initialization of the algorithm

Now, we have a lower bound lower bound

$$W_{T+1} \ge \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, N\}} w_{T+1}(i) = \beta^{\mathcal{L}_T^{\min}}$$

Then, we have an upper bound

We have by recursion

$$W_{T+1} = n \prod_{t=1}^{T} (1 - (1 - \beta) L_t)$$

• With $W_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n 1$ which correspond to the initialization of the algorithm

Now, we have a lower bound lower bound

$$W_{T+1} \ge \max_{i \in \{1,...,N\}} w_{T+1}(i) = \beta^{\mathcal{L}_T^{\min}}$$

Finally, we have that

Using
$$\beta^{\mathcal{L}_T^{\min}} \leq n \prod_{t=1}^T [1 - (1 - \beta) L_T]$$

 $\mathcal{L}_T^{\min} \log \beta \leq \log n + \sum_{t=1}^T \log [1 - (1 - \beta) L_T]$

I hen, we have by using the inequality $orall x < 1, \log{(1-x)} \leq -$



<ロト < 回 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 三 の Q (C) 179/225

Finally, we have that

Using
$$\beta^{\mathcal{L}_T^{\min}} \leq n \prod_{t=1}^T [1 - (1 - \beta) L_T]$$

 $\mathcal{L}_T^{\min} \log \beta \leq \log n + \sum_{t=1}^T \log [1 - (1 - \beta) L_T]$

Then, we have by using the inequality $\forall x < 1, \log(1-x) \leq -x$

$$\mathcal{L}_T^{\min} \log \beta \le \log n - (1 - \beta) \sum_{t=1}^T L_T$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

We have that

$$\mathcal{L}_T^{\min} \log \beta \le \log n - (1 - \beta) \mathcal{L}_T$$

After a small math manipulation we have

$$\mathcal{L}_T \le rac{\log n}{1-eta} - rac{\log \left(1 - (1-eta)
ight)}{1-eta} \mathcal{L}_T^{\min}$$

Then using $\forall x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], -\log\left(1-x^2\right) \leq x+x^2$

$$\mathcal{L}_T \leq rac{\log n}{1-eta} - (2-eta) \, \mathcal{L}_T^{\min}$$

<□ ト < □ ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 目 の Q (~ 180/225

We have that

$$\mathcal{L}_T^{\min} \log \beta \le \log n - (1 - \beta) \mathcal{L}_T$$

After a small math manipulation we have

$$\mathcal{L}_T \le \frac{\log n}{1-\beta} - \frac{\log \left(1 - (1-\beta)\right)}{1-\beta} \mathcal{L}_T^{\min}$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト 三 の Q (C 180 / 225

We have that

$$\mathcal{L}_T^{\min} \log \beta \le \log n - (1 - \beta) \mathcal{L}_T$$

After a small math manipulation we have

$$\mathcal{L}_T \leq \frac{\log n}{1-\beta} - \frac{\log (1-(1-\beta))}{1-\beta} \mathcal{L}_T^{\min}$$

Then using
$$\forall x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], -\log\left(1 - x^2\right) \le x + x^2$$

$$\mathcal{L}_T \le \frac{\log n}{1-\beta} - (2-\beta) \mathcal{L}_T^{\min}$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 三 の Q () 180/225

Finally

We have that $\mathcal{L}_T^{\min} = \min_{i \in \{1,...,N\}} \sum_{t=1}^T l_t(i) \le T$ $\mathcal{L}_T \le \frac{\log n}{1-\beta} - (1-\beta)T + \mathcal{L}_T^{\min}$

leave this to you, please remember

ullet For For $eta = 1 - rac{\sqrt{\log n}}{T}$ when $1 - rac{\sqrt{\log n}}{T} \geq rac{1}{2}$

 $\mathcal{L}_T \leq \mathcal{L}_T^{\min} + 2\sqrt{T\log N}$

<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト 三 の Q (C) 181/225

Finally

We have that $\mathcal{L}_T^{\min} = \min_{i \in \{1,...,N\}} \sum_{t=1}^T l_t(i) \le T$ $\mathcal{L}_T \le \frac{\log n}{1-\beta} - (1-\beta)T + \mathcal{L}_T^{\min}$

I leave this to you, please remember

• For For
$$\beta = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{T}$$
 when $1 - \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{T} \ge \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_T \le \mathcal{L}_T^{\min} + 2\sqrt{T\log N}$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 181/225

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

MSE Linear Estimation

- The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

The Stochastic Gradient Descent

Imagine the follow

• We assume that the covariance matrix and the cross-correlation vector are unknown.

We have that for a single sample.

The Stochastic Gradient Descent

Imagine the follow

• We assume that the covariance matrix and the cross-correlation vector are unknown.

We have that for a single sample

$$\mathcal{L}\left(oldsymbol{w},y,oldsymbol{x}
ight)=rac{1}{2}\left(oldsymbol{w}^Toldsymbol{x}-y
ight)^2$$

Therefore

We know

 The solution corresponds to the root of the gradient of the cost function:

$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{p} = E\left[\boldsymbol{x}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{T}\boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}\right)\right] = 0$$

We have

$$\nabla J(\boldsymbol{w}) = \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{p} = E\left[\boldsymbol{x}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{y}\right)\right] = 0$$

Then

$$oldsymbol{w}_n = oldsymbol{w}_{n-1} + \mu_n oldsymbol{x}_n \left(oldsymbol{x}_n^T oldsymbol{w}_{n-1} - y_n
ight)$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト < 画 ト 184/225

Therefore

We know

• The solution corresponds to the root of the gradient of the cost function:

$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{p}=E\left[\boldsymbol{x}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{T}\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{y}\right)
ight]=0$$

We have

$$abla J\left(oldsymbol{w}
ight) = \Sigma_{x} oldsymbol{w} - oldsymbol{p} = E\left[oldsymbol{x} \left(oldsymbol{x}^{T} oldsymbol{w} - y
ight)
ight] = 0$$

$$oldsymbol{w}_n = oldsymbol{w}_{n-1} + \mu_n oldsymbol{x}_n \left(oldsymbol{x}_n^T oldsymbol{w}_{n-1} - y_n
ight)$$

Therefore

We know

• The solution corresponds to the root of the gradient of the cost function:

$$\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{p}=E\left[\boldsymbol{x}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{T}\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{y}\right)
ight]=0$$

We have

$$abla J\left(oldsymbol{w}
ight) = \Sigma_{oldsymbol{x}}oldsymbol{w} - oldsymbol{p} = E\left[oldsymbol{x}\left(oldsymbol{x}^Toldsymbol{w} - y
ight)
ight] = 0$$

Then

$$oldsymbol{w}_n = oldsymbol{w}_{n-1} + \mu_n oldsymbol{x}_n \left(oldsymbol{x}_n^T oldsymbol{w}_{n-1} - y_n
ight)$$

<ロト < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 184/225

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

MSE Linear Estimation

The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm

- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

3

185 / 225

- Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm

The stochastic gradient algorithm for MSE

• It converges to the optimal mean-square error solution provided that μ_n satisfies the two convergence conditions.

Once the algorithm has converged

• It "locks" at the obtained solution.

In a case where the statistics of the involved process changes

The algorithm cannot track the changes.
The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm

The stochastic gradient algorithm for MSE

• It converges to the optimal mean-square error solution provided that μ_n satisfies the two convergence conditions.

Once the algorithm has converged

• It "locks" at the obtained solution.

in a case where the statistics of the involved process changes

The algorithm cannot track the changes.

The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm

The stochastic gradient algorithm for MSE

• It converges to the optimal mean-square error solution provided that μ_n satisfies the two convergence conditions.

Once the algorithm has converged

• It "locks" at the obtained solution.

In a case where the statistics of the involved process changes

• The algorithm cannot track the changes.

Therefore

if such changes occur, the error term

$$e_n = y_n - \boldsymbol{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1}$$

• It will get larger values.

However

 Because µ_n is very small, the increased value of the error will not lead to corresponding changes of the estimate at time n.

Therefore

if such changes occur, the error term

$$e_n = y_n - \boldsymbol{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1}$$

• It will get larger values.

However

• Because μ_n is very small, the increased value of the error will not lead to corresponding changes of the estimate at time n.

Solution

This can be overcome if one sets the value of μ_n

 $\bullet\,$ To a preselected fixed value, $\mu.$

The celebrated Least-Mean-Squares Algorithms

- Algorithm LMS
 - $\mathbf{0} \ \boldsymbol{w}_{-1} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{c}$
 - () Select a value μ

() for
$$n = 0, 1, ...$$
 do

)
$$e_n = y_n - \boldsymbol{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1}$$

$$oldsymbol{w}_n = oldsymbol{w}_{n-1} + \mu e_n oldsymbol{x}_n$$

Solution

This can be overcome if one sets the value of μ_n

• To a preselected fixed value, $\mu.$

The celebrated Least-Mean-Squares Algorithms

• Algorithm LMS

9
$$w_{-1} = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

9 Select a value μ
9 for $n = 0, 1, \dots$ do
9 $e_n = y_n - \boldsymbol{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1}$
9 $\boldsymbol{w}_n = \boldsymbol{w}_{n-1} + \mu e_n \boldsymbol{x}_n$

Complexity

Something Notable

• The complexity of the algorithm amounts to 2d multiplications/additions (MADs) per time update.

However

• As the algorithm converges close the solution

Thus

 The error term is expected to take small values making the updates to remain close the solution

Complexity

Something Notable

• The complexity of the algorithm amounts to 2d multiplications/additions (MADs) per time update.

However

• As the algorithm converges close the solution

Thus

 The error term is expected to take small values making the updates to remain close the solution

Complexity

Something Notable

 The complexity of the algorithm amounts to 2d multiplications/additions (MADs) per time update.

However

As the algorithm converges close the solution

Thus

• The error term is expected to take small values making the updates to remain close the solution

Important

Given that μ has a constant value

- The algorithm has now the "agility" to update the estimates
 - In an attempt to "push" the error to lower values.

Something Notable

This small variation of the iterative scheme has important implications.

No More a Robbins-Monro stochastic family

 The resulting algorithm is no more a member of the Robbins-Monro stochastic approximation family.

Important

Given that μ has a constant value

- The algorithm has now the "agility" to update the estimates
 - In an attempt to "push" the error to lower values.

Something Notable

 This small variation of the iterative scheme has important implications.

No More a Robbins-Monro stochastic family

 The resulting algorithm is no more a member of the Robbins-Monro stochastic approximation family.

Important

Given that μ has a constant value

- The algorithm has now the "agility" to update the estimates
 - In an attempt to "push" the error to lower values.

Something Notable

 This small variation of the iterative scheme has important implications.

No More a Robbins-Monro stochastic family

• The resulting algorithm is no more a member of the Robbins-Monro stochastic approximation family.

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm

Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)

- Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm

Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)

Subgradients

- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 - Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

AdaGrad

Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad) [11]

• It is a variation of the SGD based on the subgradient idea

Definition (Subgradient) [12]

• A vector g is a subgradient of a function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ at a point $x \in dom f$, if for all $z \in dom f$

$$f(\boldsymbol{z}) \ge f(\boldsymbol{x}) + g^T (\boldsymbol{z} - \boldsymbol{x})$$

・ロ 、 ・ 日 、 ・ (目)、 ・ (目)、 (目)、 (目)、 (193/225

Then

Example



Standard Subgradient Algorithms

At Every Timestamp t, the learner gets the subgradient information $g_t \in \partial f_t(\boldsymbol{w}_t)$

• They move the predictor x_t in the opposite direction of g_t while projecting the gradient update

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \Pi_X \left(\boldsymbol{x}_t - \eta g_t \right) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{w} \in X} \| \boldsymbol{w} - (\boldsymbol{w}_t - \eta g_t) \|_2^2$$

Graphically

As we can see the traditional setup does not get a faster convergence



We need something faster

It has a problem when searching for the best \boldsymbol{w}

• Then, we need to have something way better and simpler!!!

We can do that by accumulating the gradients and use them for mapping

$$G_{1:t} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_t \end{array} \right]$$

 It is the matrix obtained by concatenating the sub-gradient sequence in row format...

We denote the $i^{\prime h}$ row of this matrix

• The concatenation of the i^{th} component of each sub-gradient by $g_{1:t,i}$

We need something faster

It has a problem when searching for the best \boldsymbol{w}

Then, we need to have something way better and simpler!!!

We can do that by accumulating the gradients and use them for mapping

$$G_{1:t} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_t \end{array} \right]$$

• It is the the matrix obtained by concatenating the sub-gradient sequence in row format...

We denote the i^{tn} row of this matrix

• The concatenation of the i^{th} component of each sub-gradient by $g_{1:t,i}$

We need something faster

It has a problem when searching for the best \boldsymbol{w}

Then, we need to have something way better and simpler!!!

We can do that by accumulating the gradients and use them for mapping

$$G_{1:t} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} g_1 & g_2 & \cdots & g_t \end{array} \right]$$

• It is the the matrix obtained by concatenating the sub-gradient sequence in row format...

We denote the i^{th} row of this matrix

• The concatenation of the i^{th} component of each sub-gradient by $g_{1:t,i}$

A First Approach

The Covariance matrix

$$G_t = \sum_{i=1}^T g_i g_i^T$$

It is an accumulation into the past of the previous gradients

Therefore, the larger changes happen at the beginning of the updates
 Not only that g₁g₁^T has rank 1

Therefore as we go into the building process of G

• We might add new dimensions if the g_t is not in the subspace of the G_{t-1}

A First Approach

The Covariance matrix

$$G_t = \sum_{i=1}^T g_i g_i^T$$

It is an accumulation into the past of the previous gradients

- Therefore, the larger changes happen at the beginning of the updates
 - Not only that $g_1g_1^T$ has rank 1

into the building process of G

ullet We might add new dimensions if the g_t is not in the subspace of the G_{t-1}

A First Approach

The Covariance matrix

$$G_t = \sum_{i=1}^T g_i g_i^T$$

It is an accumulation into the past of the previous gradients

- Therefore, the larger changes happen at the beginning of the updates
 - Not only that $g_1g_1^T$ has rank 1

Therefore as we go into the building process of G_t

 $\bullet\,$ We might add new dimensions if the g_t is not in the subspace of the G_{t-1}

Graphically

The gradient descent iterations start building a possible space of projection



Mahalanobis Idea

If we think in the Mahalanobis Norm $\|\cdot\|_A = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, A \cdot
angle}$

 \bullet Denoting the projection of a point y onto X according to A

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{A}\left(\boldsymbol{y}\right) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{w}\in\mathcal{X}}\left\|\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{y}\right\|_{A}^{2} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{w}\in\boldsymbol{X}}\left\langle\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{y},A\left(\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{y}\right)\right\rangle$$

In Mahalonobis, the A generate a subspace where you are mapping
 So, you can change the distance to obtain a better performance

Mahalanobis Idea

If we think in the Mahalanobis Norm $\|\cdot\|_A = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, A \cdot \rangle}$

• Denoting the projection of a point \boldsymbol{y} onto \boldsymbol{X} according to \boldsymbol{A}

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{A}\left(\boldsymbol{y}\right) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{w}\in\mathcal{X}}\left\|\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{y}\right\|_{A}^{2} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{w}\in\boldsymbol{X}}\left\langle\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{y},A\left(\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{y}\right)\right\rangle$$

In Mahalonobis, the A generate a subspace where you are mapping
So, you can change the distance to obtain a better performance

Mahalanobis Idea

The

If we think in the Mahalanobis Norm $\|\cdot\|_A = \sqrt{\langle \cdot, A \cdot \rangle}$

• Denoting the projection of a point \boldsymbol{y} onto \boldsymbol{X} according to \boldsymbol{A}

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{A}\left(\boldsymbol{y}\right) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{w}\in\mathcal{X}}\left\|\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{y}\right\|_{A}^{2} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{w}\in\boldsymbol{X}}\left\langle\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{y},A\left(\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{y}\right)\right\rangle$$

In Mahalonobis, the A generate a subspace where you are mapping
So, you can change the distance to obtain a better performance

Using this, we define

Therefore, we can use the inverse of such a covariance matrix

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}^{G_t^{1/2}} \left(\boldsymbol{w}_t - \eta G_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_t \right)$$

•
$$g_t = \nabla f(\boldsymbol{w}_t)$$

• $G = \sum_{\tau=1}^t g_\tau g_\tau^T$

Given that $G_t^{-\frac{\pi}{2}}$ is computationally intensive $O\left(d^3\right)$

• And the diagonal has the necessary information!!! We can choose the information at the diagonal $O\left(d\right)$:

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \Pi_X^{diag(G)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[\boldsymbol{w}_t - \eta diag(G)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_t \right]$$

Basically, it looks as a normalization

• G acts as memory for the variance of g_t

Given that $G_t^{-\frac{\pi}{2}}$ is computationally intensive $O\left(d^3\right)$

• And the diagonal has the necessary information!!! We can choose the information at the diagonal $O\left(d\right)$:

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \Pi_X^{diag(G)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[\boldsymbol{w}_t - \eta diag(G)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_t \right]$$

Basically, it looks as a normalization

• G acts as memory for the variance of g_t

Given that $G_t^{-\frac{\pi}{2}}$ is computationally intensive $O\left(d^3\right)$

• And the diagonal has the necessary information!!! We can choose the information at the diagonal O(d):

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \Pi_X^{diag(G)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[\boldsymbol{w}_t - \eta diag(G)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_t \right]$$

Basically, it looks as a normalization

• G acts as memory for the variance of g_t

Given that the diagonal elements $G_{j,j} = \sum_{\tau=1}^{t} g_{\tau,j}^2$, the parameters are updated

$$w_j^{t+1} = w_j^t - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{G_{j,j}}}g_j$$

Something Notable

• Since the denominator in this factor, $\sqrt{G_{j,j}} = \sqrt{\sum_{\tau=1}^{t} g_{\tau,j}^2}$ is the L2 norm.

We have that

 Extreme parameter updates get dampened, while parameters that get few or small updates receive higher learning rates.

Given that the diagonal elements $G_{j,j} = \sum_{\tau=1}^t g_{\tau,j}^2$, the parameters are updated

$$w_j^{t+1} = w_j^t - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{G_{j,j}}}g_j$$

Something Notable

• Since the denominator in this factor, $\sqrt{G_{j,j}} = \sqrt{\sum_{\tau=1}^{t} g_{\tau,j}^2}$ is the L2 norm.

We have that

 Extreme parameter updates get dampened, while parameters that get few or small updates receive higher learning rates.

Given that the diagonal elements $G_{j,j} = \sum_{\tau=1}^{t} g_{\tau,j}^2$, the parameters are updated

$$w_j^{t+1} = w_j^t - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{G_{j,j}}}g_j$$

Something Notable

• Since the denominator in this factor, $\sqrt{G_{j,j}} = \sqrt{\sum_{\tau=1}^{t} g_{\tau,j}^2}$ is the L2 norm.

We have that

• Extreme parameter updates get dampened, while parameters that get few or small updates receive higher learning rates.

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients

Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm

- Looking into the Past
- Conclusions
As in MSE [13]

We are interested in minimizing the expected value of \boldsymbol{f}

$E\left[f\left(\boldsymbol{w} ight) ight]$

Now, assuming $g_t = abla_u$

 The algorithm updates moving averages of the gradient m_t and the squared gradient v_t.

Using combinations with $eta_1,eta_2\in[0,1)$

$$\begin{split} m_t &= \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1-\beta_1) \, g_t \\ v_t &= \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1-\beta_2) \, g_t^2 \end{split}$$

<ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 < 0 < 205 / 225

As in MSE [13]

We are interested in minimizing the expected value of \boldsymbol{f}

 $E\left[f\left(\boldsymbol{w}
ight)
ight]$

Now, assuming $g_t = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} f_t\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)$

• The algorithm updates moving averages of the gradient m_t and the squared gradient v_t .

Using combinations with $eta_1,eta_2\in[0,1)$.

$$\begin{split} m_t &= \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1-\beta_1) \, g_t \\ v_t &= \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1-\beta_2) \, g_t^2 \end{split}$$

As in MSE [13]

We are interested in minimizing the expected value of \boldsymbol{f}

 $E\left[f\left(\boldsymbol{w}
ight)
ight]$

Now, assuming $g_t = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} f_t\left(\boldsymbol{w}\right)$

• The algorithm updates moving averages of the gradient m_t and the squared gradient v_t .

Using combinations with $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, 1)$

$$m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) g_t$$
$$v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) g_t^2$$

Basically, they are the following quantities

You could thing on the following concepts

$$m_t = \sum_{t=1}^n \tau_n g_t \approx E\left[g_t\right] \text{ and } v_t = \sum_{t=1}^n \tau_n g_t^2 \approx E\left[\left(g_t - 0\right)^2\right]$$

I herefore, given the decays by the following formulas

$$\widehat{m}_t = rac{m_t}{(1-eta_1^t)} ext{ and } \widehat{v}_t = rac{v_t}{(1-eta_2^t)}$$

I he algorithm tries to control the step size Δ_t

$$\Delta_t = \alpha \frac{\widehat{m}_t}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_t}\right)}$$

(ロ)、(四)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(三)、(206/225)

Basically, they are the following quantities

You could thing on the following concepts

$$m_t = \sum_{t=1}^n \tau_n g_t \approx E[g_t] \text{ and } v_t = \sum_{t=1}^n \tau_n g_t^2 \approx E[(g_t - 0)^2]$$

Therefore, given the decays by the following formulas

$$\widehat{m}_t = rac{m_t}{(1-eta_1^t)} ext{ and } \widehat{v}_t = rac{v_t}{(1-eta_2^t)}$$

The algorithm tries to control the step size Δ

$$\Delta_t = \alpha \frac{\widehat{m}_t}{(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_t})}$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 三 の Q (C) 206 / 225

Basically, they are the following quantities

You could thing on the following concepts

$$m_t = \sum_{t=1}^n \tau_n g_t \approx E[g_t] \text{ and } v_t = \sum_{t=1}^n \tau_n g_t^2 \approx E[(g_t - 0)^2]$$

Therefore, given the decays by the following formulas

$$\widehat{m}_t = \frac{m_t}{(1-\beta_1^t)} \text{ and } \widehat{v}_t = \frac{v_t}{(1-\beta_2^t)}$$

The algorithm tries to control the step size Δ_t

$$\Delta_t = \alpha \frac{\widehat{m}_t}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_t}\right)}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

We have two upper bounds

$$\bullet$$
 When $1-\beta_1>\sqrt{1-\beta_2}$
$$|\Delta_t|\leq \alpha \frac{(1-\beta_1)}{\sqrt{1-\beta_2}}$$

Otherwise



<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト 三 の Q (C) 207 / 225

We have two upper bounds

$$\bullet$$
 When $1-\beta_1>\sqrt{1-\beta_2}$
$$|\Delta_t|\leq \alpha \frac{(1-\beta_1)}{\sqrt{1-\beta_2}}$$

Otherwise

$$|\Delta_t| \le \alpha$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト ミ の < C 207 / 225

Something Notable

- Since α sets (an upper bound of) the magnitude of steps in parameter space
 - \blacktriangleright We can often deduce the right order of magnitude of α for the problem at hand.

Furthermore, $\frac{m_i}{\sqrt{2}}$ can be seen as a Signal to Noise Ration (SNR)

• This value becomes zero when reaching to the optimal.

Leading to smaller effective steps in parameter space

• A form of automatic annealing.

Something Notable

- Since α sets (an upper bound of) the magnitude of steps in parameter space
 - \blacktriangleright We can often deduce the right order of magnitude of α for the problem at hand.



Something Notable

- Since α sets (an upper bound of) the magnitude of steps in parameter space
 - \blacktriangleright We can often deduce the right order of magnitude of α for the problem at hand.



Leading to smaller effective steps in parameter space

• A form of automatic annealing.

Adam Algorithm

Input: α step size, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0,1)$, f(w) objective function, w_0 Initial Parameter

- $m_0 = 0, v_0 = 0$, 1st and 2nd moment vector respectively.
- $\bigcirc t = 0$ initial time step
- while w_t not converged do

t = t + 1

 $g_t =
abla f\left({{w_{t - 1}}}
ight) \leftarrow { ext{Get}}$ gradients w.r.t. stochastic objective at timestep t

 $m_t = eta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 - eta_1) \, g_t \leftarrow \mathsf{Update}$ raw first moment

- $v_t = eta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 eta_2) \, g_t^2 \, \left. \leftarrow \mathsf{Update} \; \mathsf{raw} \; \mathsf{second} \; \mathsf{moment}
 ight.$
- $\widehat{m}_t = rac{m_t}{(1 eta_t^t)}$ \leftarrow Bias correction pf the first moment
 - $\widehat{v}_t = rac{v_t}{(1-eta_t^t)} \leftarrow ext{Bias correction pf the seconf moment}$

$$w_t = w_{t-1} - \alpha \frac{m_t}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_t} + \epsilon\right)}$$

$$\bigcirc$$
 Return w_t

Adam Algorithm

Input: α step size, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0,1)$, f(w) objective function, w_0 Initial Parameter

1 $m_0 = 0, v_0 = 0$, 1st and 2nd moment vector respectively.



Adam Algorithm

Input: α step size, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0,1)$, f(w) objective function, w_0 Initial Parameter

- **1** $m_0 = 0, v_0 = 0$, 1st and 2nd moment vector respectively.
- 2 t = 0 initial time step
- 3 while w_t not converged do

 $g_{t} = \nabla f (w_{t-1}) \leftarrow \text{Get gradients w.r.t. stochastic objective at timestep } t$ $m_{t} = \beta_{1}m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_{1}) g_{t} \leftarrow \text{Update raw first moment}$ $w_{t} = \beta_{2}v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_{2}) g_{t}^{2} \leftarrow \text{Update raw second moment}$ $\widehat{m}_{t} = \frac{m_{t}}{(1 - \beta_{1}^{t})} \leftarrow \text{Bias correction pf the first moment}$ $\widehat{w}_{t} = \frac{v_{t}}{(1 - \beta_{2}^{t})} \leftarrow \text{Bias correction pf the seconf moment}$ $w_{t} = w_{t-1} - \alpha \frac{\widehat{m}_{t}}{(\sqrt{\widehat{w}_{t} + \epsilon})}$

Adam Algorithm

Input: α step size, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0,1)$, f(w) objective function, w_0 Initial Parameter

- **1** $m_0 = 0, v_0 = 0$, 1st and 2nd moment vector respectively.
- 2 t = 0 initial time step
- ${ig 3}$ while w_t not converged do

t = t + 1

- $g_t = \nabla f(w_{t-1}) \leftarrow \text{Get gradients w.r.t. stochastic objective at timestep}$ $m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) g_t \leftarrow \text{Update raw first moment}$
 - $v_t = eta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 eta_2) \, g_t^2 \, \left. \leftarrow \mathsf{Update} \; \mathsf{raw} \; \mathsf{second} \; \mathsf{moment}
 ight.$
- $\widehat{m}_t = rac{m_t}{(1-eta_t^t)}$ \leftarrow Bias correction pf the first moment
 - $\widehat{v}_t = rac{v_t}{(1 eta_s^t)}$ \leftarrow Bias correction pf the seconf moment

$$w_{t-1} - lpha rac{m_t}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{v_t}} + \epsilon
ight)}$$

Adam Algorithm

Input: α step size, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0,1)$, f(w) objective function, w_0 Initial Parameter

- 1 $m_0 = 0, v_0 = 0$, 1st and 2nd moment vector respectively.
- 2 t = 0 initial time step
- \bigcirc while w_t not converged do

t = t + 1

4

6

 $g_t =
abla f(w_{t-1}) \leftarrow \mathsf{Get}$ gradients w.r.t. stochastic objective at timestep t

 $v_t = eta_2 v_{t-1} + (1-eta_2) \, g_t^2 \leftarrow \mathsf{U}$ pdate raw second moment

 $\widehat{m}_t = \frac{m_t}{7\pi at \lambda}$ \leftarrow Bias correction pf the first moment

 $= \frac{v_t}{(1-at)} \leftarrow$ Bias correction pf the seconf moment

Adam Algorithm

Input: α step size, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0,1)$, f(w) objective function, w_0 Initial Parameter

- **1** $m_0 = 0, v_0 = 0$, 1st and 2nd moment vector respectively.
- 2 t = 0 initial time step
- 3 while w_t not converged do

t = t + 1

4

6

6

- $g_t =
 abla f\left(oldsymbol{w}_{t-1}
 ight) \leftarrow \mathsf{Get} ext{ gradients w.r.t. stochastic objective at timestep } t$
 - $m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 \beta_1) g_t \leftarrow \mathsf{Update raw first moment}$

Adam Algorithm

Input: α step size, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0,1)$, f(w) objective function, w_0 Initial Parameter

- **1** $m_0 = 0, v_0 = 0$, 1st and 2nd moment vector respectively.
- 2 t = 0 initial time step
- ${ig 3}$ while w_t not converged do

t = t + 1

4

6

6

1

- $m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 \beta_1) g_t \leftarrow \mathsf{Update raw first moment}$
 - $v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 \beta_2) g_t^2 \leftarrow \mathsf{Update raw second moment}$

Adam Algorithm

Input: α step size, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, 1)$, f(w) objective function, w_0 Initial Parameter

- **1** $m_0 = 0, v_0 = 0$, 1st and 2nd moment vector respectively.
- 2 t = 0 initial time step
- \bigcirc while w_t not converged do

t = t + 1

4

6

6

7

8

- $m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 \beta_1) g_t \leftarrow \mathsf{Update raw first moment}$
 - $v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 \beta_2) g_t^2 \leftarrow \mathsf{Update} \text{ raw second moment}$
 - $\widehat{m}_t = rac{m_t}{\left(1 eta_1^t
 ight)}$ \leftarrow Bias correction pf the first moment

Adam Algorithm

Input: α step size, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0,1)$, f(w) objective function, w_0 Initial Parameter

- **1** $m_0 = 0, v_0 = 0$, 1st and 2nd moment vector respectively.
- 2 t = 0 initial time step
- 3 while w_t not converged do

t = t + 1

4

6

$$m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) g_t \leftarrow \mathsf{Update raw first moment}$$

$$v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) g_t^2 \leftarrow \mathsf{Update raw second moment}$$

$$\widehat{m}_t = rac{m_t}{\left(1 - eta_1^t
ight)}$$
 \leftarrow Bias correction pf the first moment

$$\widehat{v}_t = rac{v_t}{(1-eta_2^t)} \leftarrow ext{Bias correction pf the seconf moment}$$

Adam Algorithm

Input: α step size, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, 1)$, f(w) objective function, w_0 Initial Parameter

- **1** $m_0 = 0, v_0 = 0$, 1st and 2nd moment vector respectively.
- 2 t = 0 initial time step
- 3 while w_t not converged do

t = t + 1

4

5

6

0

8

9

10

- $g_t =
 abla f\left(oldsymbol{w}_{t-1}
 ight) \leftarrow \mathsf{Get} ext{ gradients w.r.t. stochastic objective at timestep } t$
 - $m_t = eta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 eta_1) \, g_t \leftarrow \mathsf{Update}$ raw first moment
 - $v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 \beta_2) g_t^2 \leftarrow \mathsf{Update} \text{ raw second moment}$
 - $\widehat{m}_t = rac{m_t}{(1-eta_1^t)}$ \leftarrow Bias correction pf the first moment
 - $\widehat{v}_t = rac{v_t}{(1-eta_2^t)} \leftarrow \mathsf{Bias} ext{ correction pf the seconf moment}$

$$\boldsymbol{w}_t = \boldsymbol{w}_{t-1} - \alpha \frac{\widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_t}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{\boldsymbol{v}}_t} + \epsilon\right)}$$

] Return w_i

Adam Algorithm

Input: α step size, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0,1)$, f(w) objective function, w_0 Initial Parameter

- **1** $m_0 = 0, v_0 = 0$, 1st and 2nd moment vector respectively.
- 2 t = 0 initial time step
- 3 while w_t not converged do

t = t + 1

4

6

10

$$m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) g_t \leftarrow \mathsf{Update raw first moment}$$

$$v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) g_t^2 \leftarrow \mathsf{Update} \text{ raw second moment}$$

$$\widehat{m}_t = rac{m_t}{\left(1 - eta_1^t
ight)}$$
 \leftarrow Bias correction pf the first moment

$$\widehat{v}_t = rac{v_t}{(1-eta_2^t)}$$
 \leftarrow Bias correction pf the seconf moment

$$\boldsymbol{w}_t = \boldsymbol{w}_{t-1} - \alpha \frac{\boldsymbol{w}_t}{\left(\sqrt{\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}_t} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\right)}$$



Regret in ADAM

The adaptive method ADAM achieves

 $R\left(T\right)=O\left(\log d\sqrt{n}\right)$

Compared with the Online Gradient Descent

 Hazan, Elad, Alexander Rakhlin, and Peter L. Bartlett. "Adaptive online gradient descent." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2008.

$$R\left(T\right) = O\left(\sqrt{dn}\right)$$

<ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 210/225

Regret in ADAM

The adaptive method ADAM achieves

$$R\left(T\right) = O\left(\log d\sqrt{n}\right)$$

Compared with the Online Gradient Descent

• Hazan, Elad, Alexander Rakhlin, and Peter L. Bartlett. "Adaptive online gradient descent." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2008.

$$R\left(T\right) = O\left(\sqrt{dn}\right)$$

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Looking into the past

If we look at the following equations

$$m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) g_t$$
$$v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) g_t^2$$

with the update

$$\widehat{m}_t = \frac{m_t}{(1-\beta_1^t)} \text{ and } \widehat{v}_t = \frac{v_t}{(1-\beta_2^t)}$$

Now, we have

$$\boldsymbol{w}_t = \boldsymbol{w}_{t-1} - \alpha \frac{\widehat{m}_t}{(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_t} + \epsilon)}$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 三 の < @ 212/225

Looking into the past

If we look at the following equations

$$m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) g_t$$
$$v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) g_t^2$$

with the update

$$\widehat{m}_t = \frac{m_t}{(1-\beta_1^t)} \text{ and } \widehat{v}_t = \frac{v_t}{(1-\beta_2^t)}$$

Now, we have

$$\boldsymbol{w}_t = \boldsymbol{w}_{t-1} - \alpha \frac{\widehat{m}_t}{(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_t} + \epsilon)}$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト < 直 ト 三 の < @ 212/225

Looking into the past

If we look at the following equations

$$m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) g_t$$
$$v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) g_t^2$$

with the update

$$\widehat{m}_t = \frac{m_t}{(1-\beta_1^t)} \text{ and } \widehat{v}_t = \frac{v_t}{(1-\beta_2^t)}$$

Now, we have

$$\boldsymbol{w}_t = \boldsymbol{w}_{t-1} - \alpha \frac{\widehat{m}_t}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_t} + \epsilon\right)}$$

<ロト < 回 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > 212/225

Then, if we apply the recursion to it

We have

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t} = \boldsymbol{w}_{t-2} - \alpha \left[\frac{\widehat{m}_{t-1}}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_{t-1}} + \epsilon\right)} + \frac{\widehat{m}_{t}}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_{t}} + \epsilon\right)} \right]$$

We notice that the term \sqrt{v}

 It works as a variance that if ∇f (w_{t-1}) → 0 works as a dampener in the search

I hen, the final recursion takes to the point O

$$oldsymbol{w}_t = oldsymbol{w}_0 - lpha \left[\sum_{k=1}^t rac{\widehat{m}_k}{(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_k} + \epsilon)}
ight]$$

<ロト < 回 ト < 巨 ト < 巨 ト ミ の < © 213/225

Then, if we apply the recursion to it

We have

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t} = \boldsymbol{w}_{t-2} - \alpha \left[\frac{\widehat{m}_{t-1}}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_{t-1}} + \epsilon \right)} + \frac{\widehat{m}_{t}}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_{t}} + \epsilon \right)} \right]$$

We notice that the term $\sqrt{\widehat{v}_{t+1}} + \epsilon$

• It works as a variance that if $\nabla f\left(\bm{w}_{t-1}\right) \longrightarrow 0$ works as a dampener in the search

Then, the final recursion takes to the point 0

$$oldsymbol{w}_t = oldsymbol{w}_0 - lpha \left[\sum_{k=1}^t rac{\widehat{m}_k}{(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_k} + \epsilon)}
ight]$$

Then, if we apply the recursion to it

We have

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t} = \boldsymbol{w}_{t-2} - \alpha \left[\frac{\widehat{m}_{t-1}}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_{t-1}} + \epsilon \right)} + \frac{\widehat{m}_{t}}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_{t}} + \epsilon \right)} \right]$$

We notice that the term $\sqrt{\widehat{v}_{t+1}} + \epsilon$

• It works as a variance that if $\nabla f(\boldsymbol{w}_{t-1}) \longrightarrow 0$ works as a dampener in the search

Then, the final recursion takes to the point 0

$$\boldsymbol{w}_t = \boldsymbol{w}_0 - \alpha \left[\sum_{k=1}^t \frac{\widehat{m}_k}{(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_k} + \epsilon)} \right]$$

Doing some Math work

We have that the last updating term look like when making $\epsilon=0$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{\widehat{m}_{k}}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_{k}}\right)} = \sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{\frac{\overline{m}_{k}}{\left(1-\beta_{1}^{k}\right)}}{\left(\sqrt{\frac{v_{k}}{\left(1-\beta_{2}^{k}\right)}}\right)} = \sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{\left(1-\beta_{2}^{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(1-\beta_{1}^{k}\right)} \times \frac{m_{k}}{\sqrt{v_{k}}}$$

Clearly

$$\left(1-eta_2^k
ight)^{rac{1}{2}}
ightarrow 1$$
 and $1-eta_1^k
ightarrow 1$

But the first one faster than the second one

 Therefore the steps modifications depend on the different values for the betas.

Doing some Math work

We have that the last updating term look like when making $\epsilon=0$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{\widehat{m}_{k}}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_{k}}\right)} = \sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{\frac{\overline{m}_{k}}{\left(1-\beta_{1}^{k}\right)}}{\left(\sqrt{\frac{v_{k}}{\left(1-\beta_{2}^{k}\right)}}\right)} = \sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{\left(1-\beta_{2}^{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(1-\beta_{1}^{k}\right)} \times \frac{m_{k}}{\sqrt{v_{k}}}$$

Clearly

$$\left(1-\beta_2^k\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \to 1 \text{ and } 1-\beta_1^k \to 1$$

But the first one faster than the second one

 Therefore the steps modifications depend on the different values for the betas.

Doing some Math work

We have that the last updating term look like when making $\epsilon = 0$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{\widehat{m}_{k}}{(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_{k}})} = \sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{\frac{m_{k}}{(1-\beta_{1}^{k})}}{\left(\sqrt{\frac{v_{k}}{(1-\beta_{2}^{k})}}\right)} = \sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{\left(1-\beta_{2}^{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(1-\beta_{1}^{k}\right)} \times \frac{m_{k}}{\sqrt{v_{k}}}$$

Clearly

$$\left(1-\beta_2^k\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \to 1 \text{ and } 1-\beta_1^k \to 1$$

But the first one faster than the second one

 Therefore the steps modifications depend on the different values for the betas.

We have different cases

For Example, we could have

- $\beta_1=0.9$ and $\beta_2=0.9$
 - Making going to zero slower than when values are near to 0.
 - A more detailed analysis is needed!!!

However, if we assume that they cancel each other, and if n_k tend to

• The terms in the past could be more important than the present ones

We have different cases

For Example, we could have

- $\beta_1 = 0.9$ and $\beta_2 = 0.9$
 - Making going to zero slower than when values are near to 0.
 - A more detailed analysis is needed!!!

However, if we assume that they cancel each other, and if v_k tend to zero at slower pace

• The terms in the past could be more important than the present ones
Actually we need to analyze the convergence

We could have



In accordance with the Simulated Annealing part

This makes ADAMS adaptive

• But with a limitation on the change because you always take the step

Making the past more important than the present when

When updating

Question

• Can we make the algorithm more selective...smarter?

In accordance with the Simulated Annealing part

This makes ADAMS adaptive

• But with a limitation on the change because you always take the step

Making the past more important than the present when

When updating

Question

• Can we make the algorithm more selective...smarter?

In accordance with the Simulated Annealing part

This makes ADAMS adaptive

• But with a limitation on the change because you always take the step

Making the past more important than the present when

When updating

Question

• Can we make the algorithm more selective...smarter?

The Problem with such approach

You require more information

• After all, the ADAM is a compromise between adaptation and the Zeroth methods

Deep Neural Networks

The Problem with such approach

You require more information

• After all, the ADAM is a compromise between adaptation and the Zeroth methods

Making it quite light for problem as

Deep Neural Networks

However

It could be a good idea to add such adaptivness to ADAM

I could result in something heavier, but more effective to obtain better performance

A naive idea would be to substitute the term $\frac{\alpha}{(\sqrt{n}+\epsilon)}$ by the Fisher Information matrix [14]

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t} = \boldsymbol{w}_{t-1} - E \left[\frac{\partial \log f(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta} | \theta \right]^{-1} \widehat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{t}$$

But that is another story

• For Another Time...

However

It could be a good idea to add such adaptivness to ADAM

I could result in something heavier, but more effective to obtain better performance

A naive idea would be to substitute the term $\frac{\alpha}{\left(\sqrt{\hat{v}_t}+\epsilon\right)}$ by the Fisher

Information matrix [14]

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t} = \boldsymbol{w}_{t-1} - E \left[\frac{\partial \log f(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta} | \theta \right]^{-1} \hat{m}_{t}$$

But that is another story

• For Another Time...

However

It could be a good idea to add such adaptivness to ADAM

I could result in something heavier, but more effective to obtain better performance

A naive idea would be to substitute the term $rac{lpha}{\left(\sqrt{\widehat{v}_t}+\epsilon
ight)}$ by the Fisher

Information matrix [14]

$$\boldsymbol{w}_t = \boldsymbol{w}_{t-1} - E \left[\frac{\partial \log f(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta} | \theta \right]^{-1} \hat{m}_t$$

But that is another story

For Another Time...

ADAM is favored in Deep Learning given that

() Given the use of stochastic gradient update:

- It is Computationally Efficient
- It requires Little memory.
- It is suited for problems that are large in terms of data and/or parameters.

Invariant to diagonal rescale of the gradients.

Appropriate for non-stationary objectives.

Appropriate for problems with very noisy/or sparse gradients.

ADAM is favored in Deep Learning given that

- **(**) Given the use of stochastic gradient update:
 - It is Computationally Efficient
 - It requires Little memory.
 - It is suited for problems that are large in terms of data and/or parameters.
- Invariant to diagonal rescale of the gradients.

Appropriate for problems with very noisy/or sparse gradients

Finally and most important

 Hyper-parameters have intuitive interpretation and typically require little tuning.

ADAM is favored in Deep Learning given that

- Given the use of stochastic gradient update:
 - It is Computationally Efficient
 - It requires Little memory.
 - It is suited for problems that are large in terms of data and/or parameters.
- Invariant to diagonal rescale of the gradients.
- O Appropriate for non-stationary objectives.

-inally and most important
 • Hyper-parameters have intuitive interpretation and typically require little tuning.

ADAM is favored in Deep Learning given that

- **(**) Given the use of stochastic gradient update:
 - 1 It is Computationally Efficient
 - It requires Little memory.
 - It is suited for problems that are large in terms of data and/or parameters.
- Invariant to diagonal rescale of the gradients.
- Oppropriate for non-stationary objectives.

Appropriate for problems with very noisy/or sparse gradients.

Finally and most important Hyper-parameters have intuitive interpretation and typically require little tuning

ADAM is favored in Deep Learning given that

- **(**) Given the use of stochastic gradient update:
 - It is Computationally Efficient
 - It requires Little memory.
 - It is suited for problems that are large in terms of data and/or parameters.
- Invariant to diagonal rescale of the gradients.
- O Appropriate for non-stationary objectives.

Appropriate for problems with very noisy/or sparse gradients.

Finally and most important

• Hyper-parameters have intuitive interpretation and typically require little tuning.

Outline

1. Introduction

- Review Gradient Descent
- The Problems of Gradient Descent with Large Data Sets
- Convergence of gradient descent with fixed step size
- Convergence Rate
 - Convex Functions
 - Back to the Main Problem
- Accelerating the Gradient Descent
- Even with such Speeds

2. Accelerating Gradient Descent

- First, Analysis of Convergence of Mean Squared Error
 - Now Doing an Analysis of MSE
- First, the Gradient Descent Method
- Analysis about μ
- What about the Mean-Square Error?
- Stochastic Approximation
- Robbins-Monro Theorem
- Robbins-Monro Scheme for Minimum-Square Error
- Convergence

3. Improving and Measuring Stochastic Gradient Descent

- Example of SGD Vs BGD
- Using The Expected Value, The Mini-Batch
- Adaptive Learning Step
- Regret in Optimization

4. Methods

- MSE Linear Estimation
 - The Least-Mean Squares Adaptive Algorithm
- Adaptive Gradient Algorithm (AdaGrad)
 - Subgradients
- Adaptive Moment Estimation, The ADAM Algorithm
 Looking into the Past
- Conclusions

Conclusions

In Machine Learning

• We need to have the best speedups to handle the problem dealing with Big Data...

As we get more and more algorithms

 It is clear that optimization for Big Data is one of the hottest trends in Machine Learning

Take a look to

 Leon Bottou, Frank E. Curtis, Jorge Nocedal: Optimization Methods for Large-Scale Machine Learning. SIAM Review 60(2): 223-311 (2018)

Conclusions

In Machine Learning

• We need to have the best speedups to handle the problem dealing with Big Data...

As we get more and more algorithms

• It is clear that optimization for Big Data is one of the hottest trends in Machine Learning

Take a look to

 Leon Bottou, Frank E. Curtis, Jorge Nocedal: Optimization Methods for Large-Scale Machine Learning. SIAM Review 60(2): 223-311 (2018)

Conclusions

In Machine Learning

• We need to have the best speedups to handle the problem dealing with Big Data...

As we get more and more algorithms

• It is clear that optimization for Big Data is one of the hottest trends in Machine Learning

Take a look to

 Leon Bottou, Frank E. Curtis, Jorge Nocedal: Optimization Methods for Large-Scale Machine Learning. SIAM Review 60(2): 223-311 (2018) C. M. Bishop, Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning (Information Science and Statistics).
 Secaucus, NJ, USA: Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 2006.

- S. Theodoridis, Machine Learning: A Bayesian and Optimization Perspective.
 Academic Press, 1st ed., 2015.
- W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis, 3rd Ed.* New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill, Inc., 1987.
- T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein, Introduction to Algorithms, Third Edition. The MIT Press, 3rd ed., 2009.
- L. Lessard, B. Recht, and A. Packard, "Analysis and design of optimization algorithms via integral quadratic constraints," *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 57–95, 2016.

Y. Nesterov, Introductory Lectures on Convex Optimization: A Basic Course.

Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated, 1 ed., 2014.

- S. Bubeck, "Convex optimization: Algorithms and complexity," *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1405.4980, 2014.
- H. Robbins and S. Monro, "A stochastic approximation method," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, vol. 22, pp. 400–407, 09 1951.
- M. Li, T. Zhang, Y. Chen, and A. J. Smola, "Efficient mini-batch training for stochastic optimization," in *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, KDD '14, (New York, NY, USA), pp. 661–670, ACM, 2014.
- M. Ravaut, "Faster gradient descent via an adaptive learning rate," 2017.

- J. Duchi, E. Hazan, and Y. Singer, "Adaptive subgradient methods for online learning and stochastic optimization," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 12, no. Jul, pp. 2121–2159, 2011.
- J. Nocedal and S. Wright, *Numerical optimization*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
- D. P. Kingma and J. Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014.
- J. Martens, "New insights and perspectives on the natural gradient method," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.1193*, 2014.