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## Remark

Given the fact of the following equivalence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Recursion } \equiv \text { Iteration } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## Then

Thus, each $x_{L} x_{L}, x_{L} y_{R}, x_{R} y_{L}$ and $x_{R} y_{R}$ can be calculated in a similar way

## Recursion

This is know as a Recursive Procedure!!!

## Complexities

## Old Multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(n)=4 T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+\text { Some Work } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Complexities

## Old Multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(n)=4 T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+\text { Some Work } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

New Multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(n)=3 T(n)+\text { Some Work } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Complexities

## Old Multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(n)=4 T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+\text { Some Work } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## New Multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(n)=3 T(n)+\text { Some Work } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## We will prove that

- For old style multiplications $O\left(n^{2}\right)$.


## Complexities

## Old Multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(n)=4 T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+\text { Some Work } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
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## New Multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(n)=3 T(n)+\text { Some Work } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## We will prove that

- For old style multiplications $O\left(n^{2}\right)$.
- For new style multiplications $O\left(n^{\log _{2} 3}\right)$
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## We can do divide and conquer

In a really unclever way!!!

Or we can go and design something better
Thus, improving speedup!!!

The difference between

- A great design...
- Or a crappy job...
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## Stephen Cole Kleene

- He defined the basics about the use of recursion.
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## Recursion Theory

- Kleene, along with Alan Turing, Emil Post, and others, is best known as a founder of the branch of mathematical logic known as recursion theory.
- This theory subsequently helped to provide the foundations of theoretical computer science.
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## Thus

We can use recursion to define sequences, functions, and sets.

## Example

- $a_{n}=2^{n}$ for $n=0,1,2, \ldots \Longrightarrow 1,2,4,8,16,32, \ldots$
- Thus, the sequence can be defined in a recursive way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n+1}=2 \times a_{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## Second

We use two steps to define $T$ :

## Basis step:

Specify the value of $T(0)$.
Recursive step:
Give a rule for $T(x)$ using $T(y)$ where $0 \leq y<x$.

## Thus

Such a definition is called a recursive or inductive definition.
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## Basis Step
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## Recursive Step
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## Example

## Consider

Consider $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ defined by...

## Basis Step <br> $3 \in S$

## Recursive Step <br> If $x \in S$ and $y \in S$, then $x+y \in S$.

## Example

## Elements

- $3 \in S$
- $3+3=6 \in S$
- $6+3=9 \in S$
- $6+6=12 \in S$
-...
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## Conquer

Solve each subproblem recursively.

## Combine

The solution of the problems into the solution of the original problem.

## Time Complexities

## Definition

- Given an input as a string where the problem is being encoded using an alphabet $\Sigma$,
- The time complexity quantifies the amount of time taken by an algorithm to run as a function on the length of such string.
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## Merge Sort

- Merge(A, p, q, r )
(1) $n_{1} \leftarrow q-p+1, n_{2} \leftarrow r-p$
(2) let $L\left[1,2, \ldots, n_{1}+1\right]$ and $R\left[1,2, \ldots, n_{2}+1\right]$ be new arrays.
(3) for $i \leftarrow 1$ to $n_{1}$
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(9) $i \leftarrow 1, j \leftarrow 1$
(10) for $k \leftarrow p$ to $r$
(11) if $L[i] \leq R[j]$ then
(12) $A[k] \leftarrow L[i]$
(13
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(9) $i \leftarrow 1, j \leftarrow 1$
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(13
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## Explanation

- Merging part.


## The Merge Sort Recursion Cost Function
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## Each Step for ONE Merging takes...
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Thus, if we merge $n$ elements
Total time at level 1 of recursion:

## In addition...

We have that the recursion split each work by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2^{i}}, \text { for } i=1, \ldots, \log n \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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T(n)=c \tag{10}
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$$

Where $c$ stands for a constant in the number of time units or assembly instructions per line!!!

## Recursive Step $n>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+c n \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Finally

$$
T(n)= \begin{cases}c & \text { if } n=1  \tag{12}\\ 2 T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+c n & \text { if } n>1\end{cases}
$$
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## Recursion and Induction

## Something Notable

When a sequence is defined recursively, mathematical induction can be used to prove results about the sequence.

## For Example

We want
To show that the set $S$ is the set $A$ of all positive integers that are multiples of 3 .

## For Example

## We want

To show that the set $S$ is the set $A$ of all positive integers that are multiples of 3 .

## First $A \subseteq S$

Show that if $\forall k \geq 1 P(k)$ is true, then $P(k+1)$ is true

## For Example

## We want

To show that the set $S$ is the set $A$ of all positive integers that are multiples of 3 .

## First $A \subseteq S$

Show that if $\forall k \geq 1 P(k)$ is true, then $P(k+1)$ is true
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We know the following by definition

$$
3 \in S
$$

We need to prove that for any $k$

$$
P(k+1): 3(k+1)=3 k+3 \in S
$$

## We have using the recursive definition

We know that $3 k \in S$ and $3 \in S$ is true, therefore by definition

$$
3 k+3=3(k+1) \in S
$$
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## Finally

- We have that for $x \in A$ with $x=3 k$ for $k \geq 1$, then by the previous proof $3 k \in S!!!$


## Now

## Finally

- We have that for $x \in A$ with $x=3 k$ for $k \geq 1$, then by the previous proof $3 k \in S!!!$
- Then, $A \subseteq S!!!$


## Now

## Finally

- We have that for $x \in A$ with $x=3 k$ for $k \geq 1$, then by the previous proof $3 k \in S!!!$
- Then, $A \subseteq S!!!$


## Now, show that $S \subseteq A$

Or $\forall x, x \in S$ then $x \in A$

## Now

## Finally

- We have that for $x \in A$ with $x=3 k$ for $k \geq 1$, then by the previous proof $3 k \in S!!!$
- Then, $A \subseteq S!!!$


## Now, show that $S \subseteq A$

Or $\forall x, x \in S$ then $x \in A$

## Given the definition

- Basis Step: $3 \in S$


## Now

## Finally

- We have that for $x \in A$ with $x=3 k$ for $k \geq 1$, then by the previous proof $3 k \in S!!!$
- Then, $A \subseteq S!!!$


## Now, show that $S \subseteq A$

Or $\forall x, x \in S$ then $x \in A$

## Given the definition

- Basis Step: $3 \in S$
- Recursive Step: $x \in S, y \in S \Rightarrow x+y \in S$
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It is clear that $3 \in A$

Now, given $x \in S$ and $y \in S$

- if $x \in A$ and $y \in A$
- Then, $x$ and $y$ are multiples of 3


## Therefore

- $x+y$ is a multiple of 3 with $x+y \in S$ by definition, and also $x+y \in A$
- Therefore, $S \subseteq A$
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## Structural induction

## Something Notable

Instead of mathematical induction to prove a result about a recursively defined sets, we can used more convenient form of induction known as structural induction.

## First

- Assume we have a recursive definition for a set $S$.
- Given $n \in S$, we must show that $P(n)$ is true using structural induction.
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## Basis Step

- Assume $j$ is an element specified in the base step of the definition.
- Show that $\forall j, P(j)$ is true.


## Recursive step

- Let $x$ be a new element constructed in the recursive step of the definition.
- Assume $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{m}$ are elements used to construct an element $x$ in the recursive step of the definition.
- Show that $\forall k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{m}\left(\left(P\left(k_{1}\right) \wedge P\left(k_{2}\right) \wedge \ldots \wedge P\left(k_{m}\right)\right) \rightarrow P(x)\right)$.
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## Therefore

## We can use structural induction

To prove the correctness of a loop in an algorithm!!!

Yes!!! In a loop we have an iteration

- That goes from 1 to $n$.
- And it has a property $P$ that needs to be maintained!!!


## Thus, the new element to be constructed

It can be our array to be sorted!!!!
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## Again Insertion Sort - Proving the Sorting Property

Data: Unsorted Sequence $A$
Result: Sort Sequence $A$
Insertion Sort(A)
for $j \leftarrow 2$ to lenght $(A)$ do
$k e y \leftarrow A[j]$;
// Insert $A[j]$ Insert $A[j]$ into the sorted sequence $A[1, \ldots, j-1]$
$i \leftarrow j-1$;
while $i>0$ and $A[i]>$ key do

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A[i+1] \leftarrow A[i] ; \\
& i \leftarrow i-1
\end{aligned}
$$

end
$A[i+1] \leftarrow k e y$
end
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## The Structure of the Inductive Proof for a Loop

## You have an initial input $n$

- Input of $n$ elements.
- Always be sure about your input!!!

Then, we have the following steeps
(1) Initialization - Before the loop.
(2) Maintenance - In the loop.
(3) Termination - At the end of the loop.

## Initialization

We have the following before the loop

- That the condition is true for one element!!!


## Initialization

We have the following before the loop

- That the condition is true for one element!!!
- For example, in insertion sort $A[1]$ is an already sorted array.
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## Maintenance

First, we must be able to prove that

- The property holds before entering into the loop.
- That the array $A[1 \ldots j-1]$ is sorted!!!

Then, we need to prove that
The insertion sort maintains the sorted property during the loop.

## Termination

## We need

- To prove that the property is TRUE for $n$ elements.
- At the end of the algorithm $A[1, \ldots, n]$ is a sorted
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## Initialization

We have $A[1 \ldots 1]$ with only one element $\Rightarrow$ it is sorted

## Maintanence

Before we enter to the inner while loop, we have
(1) $A[1 . . j-1]$ an already sorted array
(2) Less $=\emptyset$
(3) Greater $=\emptyset$
(9) $I=A[1 \ldots j-1]$.
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You never enter in the inner loop, thus $A[j-1]<k e y \Rightarrow$ Less $=A[1 . . j-1]$, thus $A[1 . . j]$ is a sorted array.

## Case II

(1) You entered the inner while loop.
(2) Thus at each iteration we have the following structure

$$
A[1 \ldots j]=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline I & A[i] & \text { Greater } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- where Greater $=\langle A[i], A[i+1], \cdots, A[j-1]\rangle$.

Note: I and Greater are sorted such that $A[1 \ldots j]$ is sorted by itself at this moment in the inner loop
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## Now

Thus, we get out of the inner loop once $I=\emptyset$.

(1) We have that $A[1 \ldots j]=$| Less | $A[i+1]$ | Greater, , where |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

$$
A[i+2]==A[i+1]
$$

(2) Thus, $A[1 \ldots j]$ is sorted before inserting the key into the position $A[i+1]$.
(3) Then, because elements of $A[1 \ldots j]$ are sorted,
(1) We have that after inserting the key at position $i+1$ in $A[1 \ldots j]$ the array is still sorted after iteration $j$.

## Finally, Termination

## Termination

- Once $j>$ length $(A)$, we get out of the outer loop and $j=n+1$.


## Finally, Termination

## Termination

- Once $j>$ length $(A)$, we get out of the outer loop and $j=n+1$.
- Then, using the maintenance procedure we have that the sub-array $A[1 \ldots n]$ is sorted as we wanted.
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- How?
- A computational system that can compute every Turing Computable function is called Turing complete (or Turing powerful).


## Properties

A Turing-complete system is called Turing equivalent if every function it can compute is also Turing Computable.

- It computes precisely the same class of functions as do Turing machines.
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## Then

Since you can build a Turing complete language using strictly iterative structures and a Turning complete language using only recursive structures, then the two are therefore equivalent.

## Proof From Lambda Calculus

- Assume languages IT (with Iterative constructs only) and REC (with Recursive constructs only).
- Simulate a universal Turing machine using IT, then simulate a universal Turing machine using REC.
- The existence of the simulator programs guarantees that both IT and REC can calculate all the computable functions.
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## Nevertheless

## Important

- We use RECURSIVE procedures, when we begin to solve new problems so we can understand them.
- Then, we move everything to ITERATIVE procedures for speed!!!
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## Introduction

## Let's go back to first principles

- We can look at our problem of complexities as bounding functions for approximation.


## Can we do better?

Asymptotic Approximation... We will see a little bit more as the course goes...

## $\operatorname{Big} O$

## Definition (Big $O$ - Upper Bound)

For a given function $g(n)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
O(g(n))= & \left\{f(n) \mid \text { There exists } c>0 \text { and } n_{0}>0\right. \\
& \text { s.t. } \left.0 \leq f(n) \leq c g(n) \forall n \geq n_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Definition (Big $\Omega$ - Lower Bound)

For a given function $g(n)$ :
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## Big $\Theta$

## Definition (Big $\Theta$ - Tight Bound)

For a given function $g(n)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(g(n))= & \left\{f(n) \mid \text { There exists } c_{1}>0, c_{2}>0 \text { and } n_{0}>0\right. \\
& \text { s.t. } \left.0 \leq c_{1} g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_{2} g(n) \forall n \geq n_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Can we relate this with practical examples?

You could say
This is too theoretical!

## Can we relate this with practical examples?

## You could say

This is too theoretical!
However, this is not the case!!
Look at this java code...

## Example: Step count of Insertion Sort in Java

## Counting when A.length $=n$

```
// Sort A assume is full
public int[] InsertionSort(int[] A){
    // Initial Variables
    int B[] = new int[A.length];
    int size = 1;
    int i, j, t;
    // Initialize the Array B
    B[0]=A[0];
    for(i = 1; i < A.length; i++){
        t = A[i];
        for(j=size - 1;
                j>=0&&t<B[j];j--) i+1
            {
                //shift to the right
                B[j+1]=B[j];}
            B[j+1]=t;
            size++;
        }
    return B;
}
```


## The Result

## Step count for body of for loop is

$$
\begin{equation*}
6+3(n-1)+n+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(i+1)+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(i) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$
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\end{equation*}
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The summation
They have the quadratic terms $n^{2}$.

## The Result

## Step count for body of for loop is

$$
\begin{equation*}
6+3(n-1)+n+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(i+1)+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(i) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## The summation

They have the quadratic terms $n^{2}$.

## Complexity

Insertion sort complexity is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$

## What does this means for insertion sort?

We have

$$
6+3(n-1)+n+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(i+1)+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(i)=\ldots
$$

## What does this means for insertion sort?

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6+3(n-1)+n+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(i+1)+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(i)=\ldots \\
& 3+4 n+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+n-1+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}=\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## What does this means for insertion sort?

## We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
6+3(n-1)+n+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(i+1)+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(i) & =\ldots \\
3+4 n+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+n-1+\frac{n(n-1)}{2} & =\ldots \\
2+5 n+n(n-1) & =\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## What does this means for insertion sort?

## We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
6+3(n-1)+n+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(i+1)+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(i) & =\ldots \\
3+4 n+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+n-1+\frac{n(n-1)}{2} & =\ldots \\
2+5 n+n(n-1) & =\ldots \\
n^{2}+4 n+2 & \leq n^{2}+4 n^{2}+2 n^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Thus

## What does this means for insertion sort?

## We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
6+3(n-1)+n+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(i+1)+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(i) & =\ldots \\
3+4 n+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}+n-1+\frac{n(n-1)}{2} & =\ldots \\
2+5 n+n(n-1) & =\ldots \\
n^{2}+4 n+2 & \leq n^{2}+4 n^{2}+2 n^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}+4 n+2 \leq 7 n^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $T_{\text {insertion }}(n)=n^{2}+4 n+2$ describing the number of steps for insertion when we have $n$ numbers.

## Actually

For $n_{0}=2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2}+4 \times 2+2=14<7 \times 2^{2}=28 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Actually

For $n_{0}=2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2}+4 \times 2+2=14<7 \times 2^{2}=28 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Graphically



## Meaning

## First

Time or number of operations does not exceed $c n^{2}$ for a constant $c$ on any input of size $n$ ( $n$ suitably large).

## Meaning

## First

Time or number of operations does not exceed $c n^{2}$ for a constant $c$ on any input of size $n$ ( $n$ suitably large).

## Questions

- Is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ too much time?
- Is the algorithm practical?
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## Then

We have the following

| $n$ | $n$ | $n \log n$ | $n^{2}$ | $n^{3}$ | $n^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | 1 micros | 10 micros | 1 milis | 1 second | 17 minutes |
| 10,000 | 10 micros | 130 micros | 100 milis | 17 minutes | 116 days |
| $10^{6}$ | 1 milis | 20 milis | 17 minutes | 32 years | $3 \times 10^{7}$ years |

## Then

We have the following

| $n$ | $n$ | $n \log n$ | $n^{2}$ | $n^{3}$ | $n^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | 1 micros | 10 micros | 1 milis | 1 second | 17 minutes |
| 10,000 | 10 micros | 130 micros | 100 milis | 17 minutes | 116 days |
| $10^{6}$ | 1 milis | 20 milis | 17 minutes | 32 years | $3 \times 10^{7}$ years |

## It is much worse

| $n$ | $n^{10}$ | $2^{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | $3.2 \times 10^{13}$ years | $3.2 \times 10^{283}$ years |
| 10,000 | $? ? ?$ | $? ? ?$ |
| $10^{6}$ | $? ? ? ? ?$ | $? ? ? ? ?$ |

The Reign of the Non Polynomial Algorithms
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## Little o Bound

## Definition

For a given function $g(n)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
o(g(n))= & \left\{f(n) \mid \text { For any } c>0 \text { there exists } n_{0}>0\right. \\
& \text { s.t. } \left.0 \leq f(n)<c g(n) \forall n \geq n_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Little o Bound

## Definition

For a given function $g(n)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
o(g(n))= & \left\{f(n) \mid \text { For any } c>0 \text { there exists } n_{0}>0\right. \\
& \text { s.t. } \left.0 \leq f(n)<c g(n) \forall n \geq n_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Observations

It is not tight.

- For example, We have that $2 n=o\left(n^{2}\right)$, but $2 n^{2} \neq o\left(n^{2}\right)$.


## Little o Bound

## Not only that

Under the definition, we have for any $f(n) \in o(g(n))$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}=0
$$

## Little $\omega$ Bound

## Definition

For a given function $g(n)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(g(n))= & \left\{f(n) \mid \text { For any } c>0 \text { there exists } n_{0}>0\right. \text { s.t. } \\
& \left.0 \leq c g(n)<f(n) \forall n \geq n_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Little $\omega$ Bound

## Definition

For a given function $g(n)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(g(n))= & \left\{f(n) \mid \text { For any } c>0 \text { there exists } n_{0}>0\right. \text { s.t. } \\
& \left.0 \leq c g(n)<f(n) \forall n \geq n_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Observations

It is not tight.

- For example, $\frac{n^{2}}{2}=\omega(n)$, but $\frac{n^{2}}{2} \neq \omega\left(n^{2}\right)$.


## Little $\omega$ Bound

## Not only that

Under the definition, we have for any $f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}=\infty
$$
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## Interpretation

```
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## Interpretation

How do you interpret $f(n)=O\left(n^{2}\right)$ ?
It means that $f(n)$ belongs to $O\left(n^{2}\right)$
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## Interpretation

How do you interpret $f(n)=O\left(n^{2}\right)$ ?
It means that $f(n)$ belongs to $O\left(n^{2}\right)$

## How do you interpret $2 n^{2}+3 n+1=2 n^{2}+\Theta(n)$ ?

$\exists f(n) \in \Theta(n)$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 n^{2}+3 n+1 & =2 n^{2}+f(n) \\
& =2 n^{2}+\Theta(n)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Properties

## Equivalence

For any two functions $f(n)$ and $g(n)$, we have that $f(n)=\Theta(g(n))$ if and only if $f(n)=O(g(n))$ and $f(n)=\Omega(g(n))$.
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## Properties

## Equivalence

For any two functions $f(n)$ and $g(n)$, we have that $f(n)=\Theta(g(n))$ if and only if $f(n)=O(g(n))$ and $f(n)=\Omega(g(n))$.

## Transitivity

$f(n)=\Theta(g(n))$ and $g(n)=\Theta(h(n))$ then $f(n)=\Theta(h(n))$
Reflexivity
$f(n)=\Theta(f(n))$

## Symmetry

$$
f(n)=\Theta(g(n)) \Longleftrightarrow g(n)=\Theta(f(n))
$$

## Transpose Symmetry

$$
f(n)=O(g(n)) \Longleftrightarrow g(n)=\Omega(f(n))
$$
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## Examples

For the little o, we have that $2 n=o\left(n^{2}\right)$, but $2 n^{2} \neq o\left(n^{2}\right)$

- In the case of the first part, it is easy to see that for any given $c$ exist a $n_{0}$ such that $\frac{1}{\frac{n_{o}}{2}}<c$.
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## Examples

For the little o, we have that $2 n=o\left(n^{2}\right)$, but $2 n^{2} \neq o\left(n^{2}\right)$

- In the case of the first part, it is easy to see that for any given $c$ exist a $n_{0}$ such that $\frac{1}{\frac{n_{o}}{2}}<c$.
- In addition, $n>n_{0}$ implies that $\frac{1}{n_{0}}>\frac{1}{n}$.


## Then

$$
2<c n \Longleftrightarrow 2 n<c n^{2}
$$

In the second part, if we assume $c=2$ and a certain value $n_{0}$ that makes true the inequality

$$
2 n_{0}^{2}<2 n_{0}^{2} \text { Contradiction!!! }
$$

## A similar situation can be seen in little $\omega$

For example $\frac{n^{2}}{2}=\omega(n)$, but $\frac{n^{2}}{2} \neq \omega\left(n^{2}\right)$

## A similar situation can be seen in little $\omega$

For example $\frac{n^{2}}{2}=\omega(n)$, but $\frac{n^{2}}{2} \neq \omega\left(n^{2}\right)$

In the first case, a similar argument can be done such that

$$
c n<\frac{n^{2}}{2}
$$

## A similar situation can be seen in little $\omega$

$$
\text { For example } \frac{n^{2}}{2}=\omega(n) \text {, but } \frac{n^{2}}{2} \neq \omega\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

In the first case, a similar argument can be done such that

$$
c n<\frac{n^{2}}{2}
$$

In the second part

- if we assume that the inequality holds for the second case we can chose $c=2$, we again obtain a contradiction.
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Now...
What do we do?
We will look at methods to solve recursions!!!
(1) Substitution Method
(2) Recursion-Tree Method
(3) Master Method
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## The Substitution Method

The Steps in the Method

- Guess the form of the solution.


## The Substitution Method

The Steps in the Method

- Guess the form of the solution.
- Use mathematical induction to find the constants and show that the solution works.


## Example

## Solve the following recurrence
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\begin{equation*}
T(n)=2 T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)+n \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example

## Solve the following recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(n)=2 T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)+n \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## I decide to do the following GUESS

Guess that $T(n)=O(n \log n)!!!$

## Example

## Solve the following recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(n)=2 T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)+n \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## I decide to do the following GUESS

Guess that $T(n)=O(n \log n)!!!$

## For this

We assume that the bound holds for $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor<n$ (Remember Inductive Hypothesis!!!).

Therefore

## We have that the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right) \leq c\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \log _{2}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Therefore

## We have that the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
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Thus, we have that

$$
T(n)=2 T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)+n
$$

## Therefore

## We have that the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right) \leq c\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \log _{2}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & =2 T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)+n \\
& \leq 2 c\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \log _{2}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)+n
\end{aligned}
$$

## Thus

We have that

$$
T(n) \leq 2 c\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \log _{2}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)+n
$$

## Thus

## We have that
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## Thus

## We have that
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\begin{aligned}
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## Remember the following

## Thus

## We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & \leq 2 c\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \log _{2}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)+n \\
& \leq 2 c \times \frac{n}{2} \times \log _{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+n \\
& =c n \log _{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+n
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remember the following

$$
\log _{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)=\log _{2} n-\log _{2} 2
$$

## Thus

## We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & \leq 2 c\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \log _{2}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)+n \\
& \leq 2 c \times \frac{n}{2} \times \log _{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+n \\
& =c n \log _{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+n
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remember the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log _{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) & =\log _{2} n-\log _{2} 2 \\
& =\log _{2} n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Finally, we have

We have
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T(n) \leq c n \log _{2} n-c n+n
$$
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We have
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Now, we need to have that
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\begin{aligned}
-c n+n & \leq 0 \\
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1 & \leq n
\end{aligned}
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Then, as long $c \geq 1$, we have that

$$
T(n) \leq c n \log _{2} n-c n+n
$$

## Finally, we have

We have

$$
T(n) \leq c n \log _{2} n-c n+n
$$

Now, we need to have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-c n+n & \leq 0 \\
n & \leq c n \\
1 & \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, as long $c \geq 1$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & \leq c n \log _{2} n-c n+n \\
& \leq c n \log _{2} n
\end{aligned}
$$

## Subtleties

## What about ?

$$
T(n)=T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)+T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right)+1
$$

## Here

We can guess that $T(n)=O(n)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & \leq c\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+c\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1 \\
& =c n+1 \\
& =O(n)
\end{aligned}
$$
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We can guess that $T(n)=O(n)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & \leq c\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+c\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1 \\
& =c n+1 \\
& =O(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Incorrect!!!

- After all $c n+1$ is not $c n$.


## Here

We can guess that $T(n)=O(n)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & \leq c\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+c\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1 \\
& =c n+1 \\
& =O(n)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Incorrect!!!

- After all $c n+1$ is not $c n$.

We can overcome this problem by assuming a $d \geq 0$ and then "guessing" $T(n) \leq c n-d$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & \leq\left(c\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor-d\right)+\left(c\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-d\right)+1 \\
& =c n-2 d+1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Therefore

Then

- if we select $d \geq 1 \Rightarrow 0 \geq 1-d$.


## Therefore

## Then

- if we select $d \geq 1 \Rightarrow 0 \geq 1-d$.

This means that $c n-2 d+1 \leq c n-d$

- Therefore, $T(n) \leq c n-d=O(n)$.
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## The Recursion-Tree Method

## Surprise

- Sometimes is hard to do a good guess.


## The Recursion-Tree Method

## Surprise

- Sometimes is hard to do a good guess.
- For example $T(n)=3 T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right)+c n^{2}$


## The Recursion-Tree Method

Therefore, we draw the recursion tree


## Using the previous expansion, we count!!!

## Counting Again!!!

- A subproblem for a node at depth $i$ is $n / 4^{i}$, then once

$$
\begin{equation*}
n / 4^{i}=1 \Rightarrow i=\log _{4} n \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Using the previous expansion, we count!!!

## Counting Again!!!

- A subproblem for a node at depth $i$ is $n / 4^{i}$, then once

$$
\begin{equation*}
n / 4^{i}=1 \Rightarrow i=\log _{4} n \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
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- At each level $i=0,1,2, \ldots, \log _{4} n-1$ the cost of each node is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(\frac{n}{4^{i}}\right)^{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Using the previous expansion, we count!!!

## Counting Again!!!

- A subproblem for a node at depth $i$ is $n / 4^{i}$, then once

$$
\begin{equation*}
n / 4^{i}=1 \Rightarrow i=\log _{4} n \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

- At each level $i=0,1,2, \ldots, \log _{4} n-1$ the cost of each node is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(\frac{n}{4^{i}}\right)^{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

- At each level $i=0,1,2, \ldots, \log _{4} n-1$ the total cost of the work is

$$
\begin{equation*}
3^{i} c\left(\frac{n}{4^{i}}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^{i} c n^{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Using the previous expansion, we count!!!

## Counting Again!!!

- A subproblem for a node at depth $i$ is $n / 4^{i}$, then once

$$
\begin{equation*}
n / 4^{i}=1 \Rightarrow i=\log _{4} n \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

- At each level $i=0,1,2, \ldots, \log _{4} n-1$ the cost of each node is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(\frac{n}{4^{i}}\right)^{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

- At each level $i=0,1,2, \ldots, \log _{4} n-1$ the total cost of the work is

$$
\begin{equation*}
3^{i} c\left(\frac{n}{4^{i}}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^{i} c n^{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

- At depth $\log _{4} n$, we have this many nodes

$$
\begin{equation*}
3^{\log _{4} n}=n^{\log _{4} 3} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we add all this counts!!!

Then, we have that

$$
T(n)=\sum_{i=0}^{\log _{4} n-1}\left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^{i} c n^{2}+n^{\log _{4} 3}
$$

Now, we add all this counts!!!

Then, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & =\sum_{i=0}^{\log _{4} n-1}\left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^{i} c n^{2}+n^{\log _{4} 3} \\
& <\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^{i} c n^{2}+n^{\log _{4} 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we add all this counts!!!

Then, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & =\sum_{i=0}^{\log _{4} n-1}\left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^{i} c n^{2}+n^{\log _{4} 3} \\
& <\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^{i} c n^{2}+n^{\log _{4} 3} \\
& =\frac{1}{1-(3 / 16)} c n^{2}+n^{\log _{4} 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we add all this counts!!!

Then, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & =\sum_{i=0}^{\log _{4} n-1}\left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^{i} c n^{2}+n^{\log _{4} 3} \\
& <\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{3}{16}\right)^{i} c n^{2}+n^{\log _{4} 3} \\
& =\frac{1}{1-(3 / 16)} c n^{2}+n^{\log _{4} 3} \\
& =O\left(n^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## The Master Theorem

## Theorem - Cookbook for solving $T(n)=a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right)+f(n)$

Let $a \geq 1$ and $b>1$ be constants, let $f(n)$ be a function, and let $T(n)$ be defined on the non-negative integers by the recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(n)=a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right)+f(n) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where we interpret $\frac{n}{b}$ as $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{b}\right\rfloor$ or $\left\lceil\frac{n}{b}\right\rceil$. Then $T(n)$ can be bounded asymptotically as follows:
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## The Master Theorem

## Theorem - Cookbook for solving $T(n)=a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right)+f(n)$

Let $a \geq 1$ and $b>1$ be constants, let $f(n)$ be a function, and let $T(n)$ be defined on the non-negative integers by the recurrence

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(n)=a T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right)+f(n) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we interpret $\frac{n}{b}$ as $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{b}\right\rfloor$ or $\left\lceil\frac{n}{b}\right\rceil$. Then $T(n)$ can be bounded asymptotically as follows:
(1) If $f(n)=O\left(n^{\log _{b} a-\epsilon}\right)$ for some constant $\epsilon>0$. Then $T(n)=\Theta\left(n^{\log _{b} a}\right)$.
(2) If $f(n)=\Theta\left(n^{\log _{b} a}\right)$, then $T(n)=\Theta\left(n^{\log _{b} a} \lg n\right)$.
(3) If $f(n)=\Omega\left(n^{\log _{b} a+\epsilon}\right)$ for some constant $\epsilon>0$ and if $a f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \leq c f(n)$ for some $c<1$ and all sufficiently large $n$, then $T(n)=\Theta(f(n))$.

## We will prove a simplified version

## Simplified Master Method

If $T(n)=a T\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{b}\right\rceil\right)+O\left(n^{d}\right)$ for some constants $a>0, b>1$, and $d \geq 0$ then

$$
T(n)= \begin{cases}O\left(n^{d}\right) & \text { if } d>\log _{b} a \\ O\left(n^{d} \log n\right) & \text { if } d=\log _{b} a \\ O\left(n^{\log _{b} a}\right) & \text { if } d<\log _{b} a\end{cases}
$$

## The Branching

## Recursive Expansion



## Proof

First, for convenience assume $n=b^{p}$

- Now we can notice that the size of the subproblems are decreasing by a factor of $b$ at each recursive step.
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## Something Notable

- This means that the size of each subproblems is $\frac{n}{b^{i}}$ at level $i$.


## Proof

First, for convenience assume $n=b^{p}$

- Now we can notice that the size of the subproblems are decreasing by a factor of $b$ at each recursive step.


## Something Notable

- This means that the size of each subproblems is $\frac{n}{b^{i}}$ at level $i$.

Thus, in order to reach the bottom you need to have subptoblems of size 1.

$$
\frac{n}{b^{i}}=1 \Rightarrow i=\log _{b} n
$$

- where $i=$ height of the recursion three.


## Therefore

Now, given that the branching factor is a

- We have at the $k^{t h}$ level $a^{k}$ subproblems, each of size $\frac{n}{b^{k}}$.

Now, given that the branching factor is $a$

- We have at the $k^{t h}$ level $a^{k}$ subproblems, each of size $\frac{n}{b^{k}}$.

Then, the work at level $k$ is

$$
T(n)=O\left(n^{d}\right) \times\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{0}+O\left(n^{d}\right) \times\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{1}+\ldots+O\left(n^{d}\right) \times\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{\log _{b} n}
$$

Then, we have that

For a $g(m)=1+c+c^{2}+\ldots+c^{m}$
(1) if $c<1$ then $g(m)=\Theta(1)$
(3) if $c=1$ then $g(m)=\Theta(m)$
(0) if $c>1$ then $g(m)=\Theta\left(c^{m}\right)$

## If $c<1$ then $g(m)=\Theta(1)$

If $\frac{a}{b^{d}}<1$,

- Then, we have that $a<b^{d}$ or $\log _{b} a<d$ (Case one of the theorem).


## Thus, we have

The following sequence

$$
T(n)=O\left(n^{d}\right) \times \sum_{k=0}^{\log _{b} n}\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{k} O\left(n^{d}\right)=\frac{1}{1-\frac{a}{b^{d}}} \times O\left(n^{d}\right) \leq O\left(n^{d}\right)
$$

Thus, we have

The following sequence

$$
T(n)=O\left(n^{d}\right) \times \sum_{k=0}^{\log _{b} n}\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{k} O\left(n^{d}\right)=\frac{1}{1-\frac{a}{b^{d}}} \times O\left(n^{d}\right) \leq O\left(n^{d}\right)
$$

## Then

- $T(n)=O\left(n^{d}\right)$

If $c=1$ then $g(m)=\Theta(m)$

If $\frac{a}{b^{d}}=1$

- Then we have that $a=b^{d}$ or $\log _{b} a=d$ (Case two of the theorem).

If $c=1$ then $g(m)=\Theta(m)$

If $\frac{a}{b^{d}}=1$

- Then we have that $a=b^{d}$ or $\log _{b} a=d$ (Case two of the theorem).


## Then

- We have that $g(n)=\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{0}+\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{1}+\ldots+\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{\log _{b} n}$ is $\Theta\left(\log _{b} n\right)$.


## Therefore

## We have that

$$
T(n)=O\left(n^{d}\right) \times \sum_{k=0}^{\log _{b} n}\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{k}=O\left(n^{d}\right) \times \Theta\left(\log _{b} n\right)
$$

## Therefore

## We have that

$$
T(n)=O\left(n^{d}\right) \times \sum_{k=0}^{\log _{b} n}\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{k}=O\left(n^{d}\right) \times \Theta\left(\log _{b} n\right)
$$

Now

- $T(n)=O\left(n^{\log _{b} a} \log _{b} n\right)=O\left(n^{\log _{n} a} \log _{2} n\right)$ because $b$ can only be greater or equal to two.

If $c>1$ then $g(m)=\Theta\left(c^{m}\right)$

If $\frac{a}{b^{d}}>1$

- Then we have that $a>b^{d}$ or $\log _{b} a>d$ (Case three of the theorem).

If $c>1$ then $g(m)=\Theta\left(c^{m}\right)$

If $\frac{a}{b^{d}}>1$

- Then we have that $a>b^{d}$ or $\log _{b} a>d$ (Case three of the theorem).


## Then

- We have

$$
n^{d} \times\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{\log _{b} n}=n^{d} \times\left(\frac{a^{\log _{b} n}}{\left(b^{\log _{b} n}\right)^{d}}\right)=a^{\log _{b} n}=a^{\left(\log _{a} n\right)\left(\log _{b} a\right)}=n^{\log _{b} a}
$$

Therefore, we have that

We have that

$$
T(n)=O\left(n^{d}\right) \times \sum_{k=0}^{\log _{b} n}\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{k}=O\left(n^{d}\right) \times O\left(\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{\log _{b} n}\right)
$$

## Therefore, we have that

## We have that

$$
T(n)=O\left(n^{d}\right) \times \sum_{k=0}^{\log _{b} n}\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{k}=O\left(n^{d}\right) \times O\left(\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{\log _{b} n}\right)
$$

Thus

- $T(n)=O\left(n^{\log _{b} a}\right)$


## Therefore, we have that

## We have that

$$
T(n)=O\left(n^{d}\right) \times \sum_{k=0}^{\log _{b} n}\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{k}=O\left(n^{d}\right) \times O\left(\left(\frac{a}{b^{d}}\right)^{\log _{b} n}\right)
$$

## Thus

- $T(n)=O\left(n^{\log _{b} a}\right)$


## Properties

## Using the Master Theorem

Consider the following recursion

$$
T(n)=9 T\left(\frac{n}{3}\right)+n
$$

## Using the Master Theorem

Consider the following recursion

$$
T(n)=9 T\left(\frac{n}{3}\right)+n
$$

We have that
$a=9, b=3$ and $f(n)=n$

## Using the Master Theorem

Consider the following recursion

$$
T(n)=9 T\left(\frac{n}{3}\right)+n
$$

## We have that

$a=9, b=3$ and $f(n)=n$

Thus
$n^{\log _{3} 9}=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ and $f(n)=O\left(n^{\log _{3} 9-\epsilon}\right)$ with $\epsilon=1$

## Using the Master Theorem

Consider the following recursion

$$
T(n)=9 T\left(\frac{n}{3}\right)+n
$$

We have that
$a=9, b=3$ and $f(n)=n$
Thus
$n^{\log _{3} 9}=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ and $f(n)=O\left(n^{\log _{3} 9-\epsilon}\right)$ with $\epsilon=1$
Then, we use then the case 1 of the Master Theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(n)=O\left(n^{2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

